



### Environment and Transport Committee

26 June 2013

## STREETLIGHTING REVIEW: APPROVAL OF PROPOSED LIGHTING REDUCTIONS

RD-05-13-F

Team Leader, Roads

Infrastructure Services

## 1.0 Summary

- 1.1 This report seeks approval for lighting reductions recommended following implementation of the streetlighting reduction policy as approved by the Council on 31<sup>st</sup> October 2012.
- 1.2 The objective of the policy is: *"to manage the reduction of street lighting through risk assessment so as to retain lighting at locations where it is most needed."* The policy also aims *"to reduce streetlighting during the hours of darkness when vehicles and pedestrians are least likely to be present."*
- 1.3 The report lists a number of housing estates and other lit areas where the complete removal of the streetlighting is recommended and others where the recommendation is that the lighting should be switched off from midnight to 6am. A further list contains areas that meet the policy's exception criteria and will no longer be considered for lighting reduction.

## 2.0 Decisions Required

- 2.1 That the Environment & Transport Committee RESOLVE to: -
  - 2.1.1 Approve the physical removal of columns, lanterns and other lighting apparatus from the streetlit areas listed in Appendix 1;
  - 2.1.2 Approve the switching-off of streetlights between midnight to 6am (part-night lighting) in the areas listed in Appendix 2;
  - 2.1.3 Note that the streetlit areas, listed in Appendix 3, will no longer be considered for removal or reduction, as part of the approved policy decision.

# 3.0 Detail

- 3.1 Shetland Islands Council, Roads Service is responsible for the management and maintenance of streetlighting. The Council's statutory duties are defined by Section 35 of the Roads (Scotland) Act 1984 which state "a local roads authority shall provide and maintain lighting for roads, or proposed roads, which are, or will be, maintainable by them and which in their opinion ought to be lit."
- 3.2 This does not imply an "absolute" duty to light all public roads or to keep the existing public lighting lit. However, the Council must have reasonable justification if it wishes to reduce or remove streetlighting. Therefore, the policy requires that prior any lighting reduction a public consultation exercise and risk assessment must be undertaken.
- 3.3 A copy of the standard letter sent to each household in the areas that would be affected is attached in Appendix 4. This letter was also copied to the local Members and Community Council. The general risk assessment for lighting reductions and the risk assessment for each scheme have been placed in the Members room. Please note that a summary of the comments received from residents and our responses are listed against each scheme in Appendices 1 and 2. Copies of the actual letters and e-mails have also been placed in the Members room for your information.
- 3.4 The approved exception criteria, where lighting is not to be reduced, are as follows:
  - major traffic routes (A class roads) through developed areas;
  - major road junctions;
  - sites with a significant night-time traffic accident record and where streetlights have been installed as an accident remedial measure;
  - town centres where there are CCTV areas, high security premises such as banks and jewellers, a high crime risk and a high concentration of people at night;
  - areas with 24hr emergency services sites including hospitals;
  - sites where Police are concerned that there may be an increase in crime;
  - areas with sheltered housing and other residences accommodating vulnerable people;
  - footpaths with steps that would be a trip hazard in poor light; and
  - where there is a statutory requirement such as the lighting of traffic calming measures and Pelican crossings.
- 3.5 Where residents' comments have alerted us to a significant safety risk, that was not previously identified, the new risk has been added to the scheme risk assessment. A number of proposals have been changed due to the findings of their risk assessment. For example the recommendation for Nesbister has been amended from complete removal to part-night due to the estates parking area being separated from the houses by a through road that is becoming increasingly busy due to development. This is a unique situation and retaining the

lighting, but switching it off when pedestrian and vehicle numbers are at their lowest, would reduce the likelihood of an incident.

3.6 The policy requires that where there has been community objection to a proposal the matter will be reported to this Committee for its decision. See Appendices 1 and 2 for resident's comments. Where there are no comment or objections we have approval from the previous report to proceed.

# 4.0 Savings

- 4.1 The estimated savings are listed against each scheme in Appendices 1 and 2. The schemes where the street lighting apparatus is to be physically removed have a figure for capital and revenue savings. This is because these streetlights would only be removed when they are at the end of their useful life when their replacement is the only option if the lighting in that area is to be retained. The cost of the new column, lantern, cut-outs etc can, therefore, be deducted from capital expenditure if they are not being replaced.
- 4.2 The total recurring savings achievable through the recommendations made in Appendices 1 and 2 of this report are £13,426. The total one off capital savings are £140,400. (Please note that these will only be realised when the lighting is in poor condition and due for replacement. An approximate split is that £36,400 would be achieved in the near future with £104,000 in later years). The projected recurring revenue savings in October 2012 were £25,155 so the recommendations would realise 53% of the required amount. A further report recommending more lighting reductions is to be presented to this Committee in September this year. This is expected to make up the balance of the £25,155.

# 5.0 Implications

# Strategic

5.1 Delivery On Corporate Priorities

Development of a sustainable public road network contributes to the "Stronger" section of the Community Plan and also the Corporate aim to use resources sustainably.

Shetland Islands Council Improvement Plan 12/13

Area 6.5 – To deliver the agreed savings reviews within the timescales agreed by Council.

Area 8.1 – Make sure the Council has a comprehensive view of its asset needs and how they are to be most effectively delivered.

5.2 <u>Community/Stakeholder Issues</u> Consultation has been undertaken with Community Councils and all residents of the areas that may be affected by the proposals. 5.3 Policy and/or Delegated Authority

In accordance with Section 2.3.1 of the Council's Scheme of Administration and Delegations, the Environment and Transport Committee has delegated authority to make decisions on matters within approved policy and for which there is budget.

5.4 Risk Management

Failure to reduce the net ongoing running cost of the Council carries a significant risk of breach of the Council financial policies that will require a further draw on Reserves.

There could be disadvantages with the removal of streetlighting including an increase in night time accidents, reduced social inclusion and an increase in crime and in the fear of crime. It is crucial that savings made by the Council are not just transferred to wider society such as to the Police through an increase in crime levels. Therefore, prior to the introduction of any lighting reduction scheme the risks must be assessed.

5.5 <u>Equalities, Health And Human Rights</u> This was addressed within the streetlighting review process through Equalities Impact Assessments.

### 5.6 Environmental

### Carbon Management

We are working to reduce the Council's carbon footprint, and our electricity costs, by removing lighting and reducing the operating hours of the remaining lighting in some areas.

## Light Pollution

The most obvious impact of light pollution is interference with the view of the night sky but there are other affects such as harming people's quality of life. For example disturbance of sleep caused by excessive light shining into homes.

## 5.7 Financial

The following table sets out last year's capital and revenue budget provision for streetlighting against actual expenditure to show the savings that were made by changing the maintenance regime:

	Overall Total	<u>£461,560</u>	<u>£352,200</u>
GCY9204	Capital Replacement	£61,800	£48,700
GRY6675	Renewals	£23,000	£7,000
GRY6551	Electricity	£211,760	£193,700
GRY6551	Maintenance	£165,000	£102,800
		<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>

The underspend on renewals was largely due to the review and the need to wait until it was approved before progressing works. This meant that the work could only start in November last year.

5.8 Legal

The Council's statutory duties are defined by Section 35 of the Roads (Scotland) Act 1984 which requires that "a local roads authority shall provide and maintain lighting for roads, or proposed roads, which are, or will be, maintainable by them and which in their opinion ought to be lit."

- 5.9 <u>Human Resources</u> No implications.
- 5.10 <u>Assets and Property</u> The removal of street lighting would reduce the asset and thereby reduce the cost of maintaining Shetland's road network.

## 6.0 Conclusions

6.1 The Committee is asked to note the contents of this report, including the appendices, and to approve the recommendations detailed in sections 2.1 (above).

For further information please contact: Neil Hutcheson, *Team Leader, Roads* 01595 744882, neil.hutcheson@shetland.gov.uk 12 June 2013

## List of Appendices

- Appendix 1 Lighting Schemes Recommended for Complete Removal
- Appendix 2 Lighting Schemes Recommended for Part-Night Lighting
- Appendix 3 Schemes that Meet Exception Criteria
- Appendix 4 Standard Consultation Letter

## Background Documents:

"Streetlighting Review Report" 29 October 2012 (ISD-11-12-F)

<u>General and Scheme Risk Assessments</u> (Placed in Members Room) <u>Residents Letters and E-Mails</u> (Placed in Members Room)

APPENDIX 1: LIGHTING TO BE REMOVED

											REMOVED
LIGHTING SCHEME REDUCTIONS South Mainland	NO OF LIGHTS	MINI PILLARS	COSTS (£)		ANNUAL REVENUE SAVINGS (£)		SAVINGS	RETURN PERIOD (YEARS)	RESIDENT	RESIDENTS COMMENTS	ROADS SERVICE RESPONSE
Cameron Way, Sandwick	6	1	3840	6240	557.28	173.00	132.6	1.3	NONE	-	Part-night as interim measure
Colonial Place, Scatness	4	1	2560	4160	371.52	173.00	89.74	1.9	1 AGAINST OUT OF	<ul> <li>Removing the lighting will put the area between Sanblister and Colonial Place into darkness.</li> <li>Removing lighting cannot be considered completely safe as a wind blown obstruction could cause a serious trip or fall.</li> <li>School bus reversing into estate poses a risk to children.</li> <li>A single light at least could be retained.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Removal is the preferred option but part-night lighting recommended as an interim measure until new columns have reached end of their design life.</li> <li>Providing lighting to enable a small number of pedestrians to identify the rare occasion when a wind blown obstacle may be present is not the best use of the Council's limited resources. Expectation that people will have to be more self-reliant including carrying torches, as is already the case.</li> <li>The majority of bus shelters in Shetland are not lit but Police records show no accidents at bus shelters in the past 9</li> </ul>
Dalsetter Wynd, Boddam	19	1	12160	19760	1764.72	173.00	411.19	0.4	NONE	-	
Sanblister Place, Scatness	4	1	-	-	-	-	-	_	OUT OF 15	•Disabled resident whose home is located on remote footpath some distance from the estates access road. Removal or reduction of lighting would significantly inconvenience this resident.	•The current proposal to reduce streetlighting at Sanblister Place has been discontinued.
Swinister Cul-de-sac, Sandwick	4	1	2560	4160	371.52	173.00	89.74	1.9	NONE		•Part-night as interim measure
The Hillock, Boddam	5	1	3200	5200	464.4	173.00	111.17	1.6	NONE	-	<ul> <li>Part-night as interim measure</li> </ul>

 TOTAL COSTS/SAVINGS
 42
 24,320
 39520
 3,529
 865
 834

### APPENDIX 1: LIGHTING TO BE REMOVED

LIGHTING SCHEME REDUCTIONS	NO OF LIGHTS	MINI PILLARS	COSTS (£)	CAPITAL SAVINGS (£)	ANNUAL REVENUE SAVINGS (£)	PART NIGHT COSTS (£)	PART NIGHT SAVINGS (£)	PERIOD	NO OF RESIDENT REPLIES	RESIDENTS COMMENTS	ROADS SERVICE RESPONSE
Scalloway & Central Mainland											
Sunnybank, Burra	3	1	1920	3120	278.64	173.00	68.31	2.5	1 AGAINST OUT OF 5	vandals. •Lighting should use less costly	<ul> <li>Complete removal is to be recommended.</li> <li>Policy has been discussed with the Police and Sunnybank is not an area where they are concerned about an increase in crime.</li> <li>We intend to use electronic apparatus from now on.</li> </ul>
The Strand, Tingwall	5	1	3200	5200	464.4	173.00	111.17	1.6	5 AGAINST OUT OF 12	lit for safety reasons. •Solar powered lighting could be provided at bus stop.	<ul> <li>Removal is the preferred option but part-night lighting recommended as an interim measure until relatively new columns have reached end of their design life.</li> <li>Bus stop is located within a 30 mph limit so risk factor has an acceptable score. Police accident records show no accidents involving buses in past 5 years even though most are unlit.</li> <li>Streetlighting was not designed to light car park and is inadequate for this purpose. Roads Service would be willing to assist with the design and funding of lighting that school considers necessary.</li> <li>Existing solar powered streetlighting at shelters has proven to be unreliable and expensive to maintain.</li> <li>Disability Shetland concerns regarding crime but none specific to disability issues.</li> <li>Relaxed planning conditions mean that new housing developments do not necessarily have to have streetlights.</li> </ul>
TOTAL COSTS/SAVINGS	8		5120	8320	743	346	179	-			

 TOTAL COSTS/SAVINGS
 8
 5120
 8320
 743
 346
 179

											APPENDIX 1: LIGHTING TO BE REMOVED
LIGHTING SCHEME REDUCTIONS West Mainland	NO OF LIGHTS	MINI PILLARS	COSTS (£)	CAPITAL SAVINGS (£)	ANNUAL REVENUE SAVINGS (£)	PART NIGHT COSTS (£)	SAVINGS	PERIOD	NO OF RESIDENT REPLIES	RESIDENTS COMMENTS	ROADS SERVICE RESPONSE
	T	1	r								
Clach-na-Strom, Weisdale	9	1	5760	9360	835.92	173.00	196.89	0.9	NONE	-	•Removal is the preferred option but part-night lighting recommended as an interim measure until relatively new columns have reached end of their design life.
Gostagert, Sandness	3	1	1920	4160	278.64	173.00	68.31	2.5	NONE	-	<ul> <li>Removal is the preferred option but part-night lighting recommended as an interim measure until relatively new columns have reached end of their design life.</li> </ul>
Whitelaw, Aith	5	1	3200	5200	464.4	173.00	111.17	1.6	NONE	-	<ul> <li>Complete removal is recommended.</li> </ul>
North Mainland											
Hillside, Voe	4	1	2560	4160	371.52	173.00	89.74	1.9	2 FOR OUT OF 6	•Lights serve no useful purpose and spoil our view of the night sky.	•Complete removal is recommended.
Midgard, North Roe	4	1	2560	4160	371.52	173.00	89.74	1.9	NONE	-	<ul> <li>Part-night lighting until relatively new columns have reached end of their design life.</li> </ul>
Stackhoull, Sullom	5	1	3200	5200	464.4	173.00	111.17	1.6	NONE	-	•Complete removal is recommended.
Wethersta Ind Estate,	8		5120	8320	743.04	173.00	175.46	1.0	NONE		<ul> <li>Complete removal is recommended.</li> </ul>

 TOTAL COSTS/SAVINGS
 38
 24320
 40560
 3529
 1211
 842

APPENDIX 1: LIGHTING TO BE REMOVED

LIGHTING SCHEME REDUCTIONS Yell Burrapark, Mid Yell	NO OF LIGHTS	MINI PILLARS	COSTS (£) 4480	CAPITAL SAVINGS (£) 7280	REVENU E SAVING S (£) 650.16	NIGHT COSTS (£)	PART NIGHT SAVINGS (£) 154.03	RETURN PERIOD (YEARS) 1.1	1 FOR	•The majority of the	•Complete removal is recommended.
Clingrapark, Camb, Yell	5	1	3200	5200	464.4	173.00	111.17	1.6	NONE	-	•Complete removal is recommended.
Ferry View, Ulsta	2	1	1280	2080	185.76	173.00	46.88	3.7	1 AGAINST OUT OF 3	<ul> <li>Risk assessment does not consider speeding issues in existing 30 mph limit. This makes the road dangerous, especially in winter in the darkness.</li> <li>Ferry Terminal means Ulsta is busy by Shetland standards.</li> <li>We have small children who will grow up and need to use the road.</li> <li>There are no pavements on the road, pavements on the road,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Complete removal is recommended.</li> <li>We need to reduce our lighting stock in order to manage and maintain the remaining streetlights effectively. Removal of these lights complies with the aim of the policy "to reduce lighting through risk assessment to retain lighting at locations where it is most needed."</li> <li>The two streetlights at Ferry View provide little useful lighting of the road between the estate and the shop.</li> <li>May be some benefit due to the lighting highlighting the housing which in turn would indicate to drivers that the length of road is more likely to be used by pedestrians. Install "pedestrian in road ahead" signs to give drivers a specific warning.</li> </ul>
Steenbrae, Aywick	5	1	3200	5200	464.4	173.00	111.17	1.6	NONE	-	•Complete removal is recommended.
Unst											
Beach Road, Haroldswick	3	1	1920	3120	252.27	173.00	68.31	2.5	NONE	-	•Complete removal is recommended.
East Road, Uyeasound	6	1	3840	6240	557.28	173.00	132.6	1.3	NONE	-	•Complete removal is recommended.
Gutter Street, Baltasound	10	1	6400	10400	928.8	173.00	218.32	0.8	1 AGAINST OUT OF 6	<ul> <li>Would miss lighting if removed completely, especially in winter.</li> <li>LED bollard light should be installed instead.</li> </ul>	•Complete removal is recommended.

 TOTAL COSTS/SAVINGS
 38
 24320
 39520
 3503.07
 1211
 842.48

#### APPENDIX 1: LIGHTING TO BE REMOVED

		MINI PILLARS	COSTS	CAPITAL SAVINGS (£)	ANNUAL REVENUE SAVINGS (£)	NIGHT	SAVINGS	RETURN PERIOD (YEARS)	RESIDENT	RESIDENTS COMMENTS	ROADS SERVICE RESPONSE
Harlsdale, Whalsay	3	1	1920	3120	252.27	173.00	68.31		2 AGAINST	<ul> <li>There are sheltered houses in the estate.</li> <li>Essy bag box should be relocated.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Complete removal of <u>some</u> lights is to be recommended.</li> <li>Lighting at sheltered housing is to be retained.</li> <li>Essy cart crew to check location of box and move it if necessary.</li> </ul>
Saeter, Symbister	5	1	3200	5200	464.4	173.00	111.17	1.6	1 FOR AND 3 AGAINST OUT OF 10	<ul> <li>Slips and trips would increase in wintry conditions.</li> <li>Switch off every second light instead.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Complete removal is to be recommended.</li> <li>No steep gradients within estate so risk of slipping no greater that other locations.</li> <li>Parking area to be surface dressed so "skid" resistance will be increased.</li> <li>Removal option recommended because Saeter is not linked to the rest of Symbister by lighting, resident currently needs a torch if going outwith the estate.</li> </ul>

 TOTAL COSTS/SAVINGS
 8
 5120
 8320
 716.67
 346
 179.48

134

GRAND TOTAL COSTS/SAVINGS

85,760 140,400 12,393 3,979 2,878 1.4

#### APPENDIX 2: PART-NIGHT LIGHTING SCHEMES

LIGHTING SCHEME REDUCTIONS	NO OF LIGHTS		SAVINGS (£)		RESIDENT	RESIDENTS COMMENTS	ROADS SERVICE RESPONSE
South Mainland							
Horseshoe Close, Toab	5	173.00	115.17	1.5	NONE	-	<ul> <li>Part-night lighting is to be recommended.</li> </ul>
Turnibrae, Boddam	7	173.00	159.63	1.1	NONE	-	<ul> <li>Part-night lighting is to be recommended.</li> </ul>
Lerwick South							
Hillcrest, Lerwick	10	173.00	226.32	0.8	NONE	-	• Part-night lighting is to be recommended.
Sands o' Sound, Lerwick	6	173.00	137.4	1.3	NONE	-	• Part-night lighting is to be recommended.
Sandy Loch Drive, Lerwick	10	173.00	226.32	0.8	1 FOR OUT OF 22	<ul> <li>Wholeheartedly welcome the proposal</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Part-night lighting is to be recommended.</li> </ul>
Upper Baila, Lerwick	11	173.00	248.55	0.7	NONE	-	<ul> <li>Part-night lighting is to be recommended.</li> </ul>
West Baila, Lerwick	19	173.00	426.39	0.4	1 FOR OUT OF 43	<ul> <li>No objection but mini pillar would need luminous markings</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Part-night lighting is to be recommended.</li> <li>Luminous strips to be fitted as requested.</li> </ul>
Westerloch, Lerwick	23	346.00	515.31	0.7	1 FOR AND 2 UNSURE OUT OF 48	<ul> <li>No objections.</li> <li>No real objection but be aware that road is narrow.</li> <li>Do not agree that vehicle speeds are generally low.</li> <li>Vehicles cut corner at foot of Andrewston Brae, especially dangerous in dark.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Part-night lighting is to be recommended.</li> <li>Double yellow lines would be marked prior to introduction of part-night lighting. "SLOW" road markings and centreline also installed on each approach to bend.</li> <li>Narrow road now included in risk assessment but risk factor score is in the acceptable range.</li> </ul>
Lerwick North							
Old North Ness, Lerwick	4	173.00	92.94	1.9	NONE	-	<ul> <li>Part-night lighting is to be recommended.</li> </ul>

TOTAL COSTS/SAVINGS

95 1,730 2,148

### APPENDIX 2: PART-NIGHT LIGHTING

SCHEMES

							<u>SCHEMES</u>
LIGHTING SCHEME REDUCTIONS	NO OF LIGHTS	COSTS (£)	SAVINGS (£)		RESIDENT	RESIDENTS COMMENTS	ROADS SERVICE RESPONSE
Scalloway & Central Main	land						
Blydoit, East Voe, Scalloway	21	173.00	470.85	0.4	1 AGAINST OUT OF 36	•Why are lights kept in where there are road humps? •The footpaths are in poor	<ul> <li>Part-night lighting is to be recommended.</li> <li>It is a legal requirement to light vertical traffic calming measures.</li> <li>The risk assessment did not identify any significant safety defects with the footpath surfacing. They will be inspected and repairs will be prioritised as required.</li> </ul>
Duke St, Hamnavoe, Burra	9	173.00	204.09	0.8	1 FOR OUT OF 23	<ul> <li>Delighted to hear that streetlights are being switched off</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Part-night lighting is to be recommended.</li> </ul>
Ingaville Road, Scalloway	8	173.00	181.86	1.0		<ul> <li>No objections to the proposals.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Part-night lighting is to be recommended.</li> </ul>
Rockmount, Burra	6	173.00	137.4	1.3	NONE	-	<ul> <li>Part-night lighting is to be recommended.</li> </ul>
Undirhoul, East Voe	7	173.00	159.63	1.1	NONE	-	<ul> <li>Part-night lighting is to be recommended.</li> </ul>
West Mainland							
Nesbister, Wormadale	4	173.00	92.94	1.9		<ul> <li>There is a busy road between our parking area and homes which is to get busier due to new developments.</li> <li>There is no reduced speed limit.</li> <li>The kerb at the footpath is high.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Agreement that the situation here is unusual due to the relatively busy through route separating the houses and parking.</li> <li>Recommendation changed from removal to part-night lighting.</li> </ul>
North Mainland			·		·		
Grindwell, Brae	6	173.00	137.4	1.3	NONE	-	<ul> <li>Part-night lighting is to be recommended.</li> </ul>
Maidenfield, Mossbank	18	173.00	404.16	0.4		<ul> <li>Should have been done a long time ago.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Part-night lighting is to be recommended.</li> </ul>
Midlea Autopoint, Firth	12	173.00	270.78	0.6	NONE	-	<ul> <li>Part-night lighting is to be recommended.</li> </ul>
Mulla, Voe	29	173.00	648.69	0.3	NONE	-	<ul> <li>Part-night lighting is to be recommended.</li> </ul>
Roebrek, Brae	9	173.00	204.09	0.8	NONE	-	<ul> <li>Part-night lighting is to be recommended.</li> </ul>
Skelladale, Brae	10	173.00	226.32	0.8	1 FOR OUT OF 29	<ul> <li>No objection to part-night lighting.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Part-night lighting is to be recommended.</li> </ul>
TOTAL COSTS/SAVINGS	139	2,076	3,138				

### **APPENDIX 2: PART-NIGHT LIGHTING**

**SCHEMES** 

							SCHEME
LIGHTING SCHEME REDUCTIONS	NO OF LIGHTS		SAVINGS		NO OF RESIDENT REPLIES	RESIDENTS COMMENTS	ROADS SERVICE RESPONSE
Yell							
Greenbank Terrace, Cullivoe	4	173.00	92.94	1.9	2 AGAINST OUT OF 8	<ul> <li>No objections personally but every second light should be retained for elderly residents.</li> <li>Concerned about steps on "private" footpaths between gate and front door.</li> <li>Emergency services may not be able to locate an address</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Part-night lighting is to be recommended.</li> <li>Lighting design must comply with British Standard to avoid light and dark area.</li> </ul>
Meadowbank Road, Burravoe	10	173.00	226.32	0.8	NONE	-	<ul> <li>Part-night lighting is to be recommended.</li> </ul>
Unst							
Nikkavord Lea, Baltasound	7	173.00	159.63	1.1	NONE	-	<ul> <li>Part-night lighting is to be recommended.</li> </ul>
Whalsay & Skerries							
Norrendal, Symbister	5	173.00	115.17	1.5	NONE	-	<ul> <li>Part-night lighting is to be recommended.</li> </ul>
North Park, Symbister	5	173.00	115.17	1.5	3 AGAINST OUT OF 12	<ul> <li>Lights can easily be switched off from midnight to 6am, should have been that way from the start.</li> <li>Don't mind part-night lighting but would prefer 2 or 3 lights on all the time.</li> <li>Most residents are elderly, some of whom are disabled, and rely greatly on the lighting to get about</li> </ul>	• Part-night lighting is to be recommended. • There is likely to be little pedestrian movement in the hours when lighting is switched off.

**TOTAL COSTS/SAVINGS** 31 865 709

GRAND TOTAL COSTS/SAVINGS 265

6 4,671 5,995

APPENDIX 3: SCHEMES NO LONGER TO BE
CONSIDERED

LIGHTING SCHEMES RETAINED	EXCEPTION CRITERIA
South Mainland	
Aester Houl, Fair Isle	Sheltered Housing
Dandigarth, Cunningsburgh	Sheltered Housing
Hayhoull Place, Bigton	Sheltered Housing
Park Wynd, Sandwick	Sheltered Housing
Toabsgeo, Virkie	Sheltered Housing
Turnibrae, Virkie	Steps
Lerwick South	
Anderson Homes, Twageos	Sheltered Housing
Brevik Cottages, Burgh Rd	Sheltered Housing
Grodians, Quoys, Sound	Police Concerns
Ladies Drive, Lerwick	Police Concerns
Leog, Lerwick	Sheltered Housing, Steps
Nederdale (Nos 1-52)	Steps, Police Concerns
Nederdale (Nos 53-106)	Police Concerns
North Gremista Ind Estate	Police Concerns
Sandveien, Lerwick	Traffic Calming
St Olaf Street (No 47)	Sheltered Housing, Steps
Lerwick North	
Blackhill Ind Estate, Lerwick	Police Concerns
Cunningham Way, Lerwick	Police Concerns
Glebe Park, Bressay	Sheltered Housing
Gremmasgaet, Lerwick	Steps
Hjaltland Place, Lerwick	Steps
Hoofields, Lerwick	Police Concerns
Lower Blackhill Ind Estate	Police Concerns
Marina Business Park	Police Concerns
Old North Road, Lerwick	Traffic Calming
Port Business Park	Police Concerns
Pegasus Place, Lerwick	Police Concerns
South Gremista Ind Estate	Police Concerns
Staney Hill Ind Estate	Police Concerns
Stocketgaet, Lerwick	Steps
Unicorn Court, Lerwick	Police Concerns
Unicorn View, Lerwick	Police Concerns
Scalloway & Central Mainland	
Endavoe, East Voe, Scalloway	Traffic Calming
Glenhaven, Burra	Sheltered Housing
Hogalee, East Voe	Traffic Calming
Meadowfield Cres, Scalloway	Sheltered Housing
Meadowfield Place, Scalloway	Sheltered Housing
North Toogs, Burra	Sheltered Housing
Stendaal, Nesting	Sheltered Housing, Steps

### APPENDIX 3: SCHEMES NO LONGER TO BE CONSIDERED

LIGHTING SCHEMES RETAINED	EXCEPTION CRITERIA MET
West Mainland	Chaltered Lleveirer
Grindybrecks, Skeld	Sheltered Housing
Kalliness, Weisdale	Sheltered Housing
Kirkidale, Walls	Traffic Calming
Shendale Burn, Sandness	Sheltered Housing
Stove Cottages, Walls	Sheltered Housing
Wirligert, Aith	Sheltered Housing
North Mainland	
Bayview, North Roe	Sheltered Housing
Gillside, Vidlin	Sheltered Housing
Grindahoul, Brae	Sheltered Housing, Steps
Norderhoull,Voe	Sheltered Housing
Queeness Rd, Vidlin	Sheltered Housing, Steps
Runnadale, Ollaberry	Sheltered Housing
Smuggabank, Mossbank	Sheltered Housing
Stucca, Hillswick	Sheltered Housing
Valladale, Urafirth	Sheltered Housing
Yell	
Bellevue, Mid Yell	Sheltered Housing
Greenbank Road, Cullivoe	Sheltered Housing
Meadowbank Rd (Nos 9-21),	
Burravoe	Sheltered Housing
<u>Unst</u>	
Brakefield, Haroldswick	Sheltered Housing, Steps
Brucehall, Uyeasound	Sheltered Housing
Daisy Park, Baltasound	Sheltered Housing
Setters Hill, Baltasound	Traffic Calming
Fetlar	
Stakkafletts, Fetlar	Sheltered Housing, Steps
Whalsay & Skerries	
Harbourview, Skerries	Sheltered Housing
Harlsdale, Whalsay	Sheltered Housing
Tripwell, Whalsay	Sheltered Housing, Steps

#### APPENDIX 4: STANDARD CONSULTATION LETTER

Executive Manager: Dave Coupe **Director: Phil Crossland** 

Roads Infrastructure Services Department Gremista Lerwick Shetland ZE1 0PX

Telephone: 01595 744866 Fax: 01595 744879 roads@shetland.gov.uk www.shetland.gov.uk

If calling please ask for Neil Hutcheson Direct Dial: 01595 744882 Date: 29<sup>th</sup> April 2013

Our Ref: NH/SMG/R/C9

Dear Sir/Madam

## **Streetlighting Review: Lighting Reduction Options**

A review of the Council's Streetlighting policy was approved at a special meeting of Shetland Islands Council on 9<sup>th</sup> February 2012. The aim was to produce a policy that manages the reduction of street lighting through risk assessment so as to retain lighting at locations where it is most needed. The policy would also aim to reduce streetlighting during the hours of darkness, when vehicles and pedestrians are least likely to be present.

A series of meetings to discuss the future of streetlighting provision was held with the general public, stakeholders and Community Councils between 13 and 30 June 2012. A total of six options were presented at these meetings.

Shetland Islands Council approved two of these options on 31st October 2012. These were part-night lighting and the complete removal of streetlighting circuits. The latter option would remove the streetlighting lanterns and columns from an area but only when they have reached the end of their useful life. This would save the capital money required for their replacement as well as the annual expenditure on electricity and maintenance. This is the saving method proposed for the streetlighting at

There could be disadvantages with the reduction of streetlighting. It is crucial that savings made by the Council are not just transferred to wider society. Therefore, prior to the introduction of any lighting reduction scheme a risk assessment and public consultation exercise must be undertaken. A copy of the assessment for is enclosed for your information.

I would appreciate your comments on these proposals, particularly if any risks or hazards have been omitted from the risk assessment.

Please reply to Neil Hutcheson at the above address prior to 31 May 2013. Neil should also be contacted with any queries you may have regarding the proposals.

Yours faithfully

Executive Manager, Roads

#### **GENERAL & SCHEME RISK ASSESSMENTS**

**RESIDENTS LETTERS AND E-MAILS** 

BOTH PLACED IN MEMBERS ROOM