

Shetland Islands Council

REPORT

To: Audit & Scrutiny

7 June 2010

From: Service Manager – Safety & Risk Legal & Administration Executive Services

REPORT NO: LA-19-F

Risk Management Development Plan – Update Report

1 Introduction and Background

- 1.1 As Members will already be aware, the Council has in place a Risk Management Development Plan designed to assist in meeting the principles of the CiPFA Checklist, British Standard for Risk Management – code of Practice BS31000, and taking account of the recommendations in Audit Scotland's SARA report, as well as being in keeping with the Single Shared Outcome Agreement.
- 1.2 The Development Plan is a living document allowing for the changing demands placed on the Council, and details the areas where most work is required. The ultimate aim is to ensure that all categories are marked green as opposed to amber or red.

2 Links to Corporate Priorities and Risk

- 2.1 This report supports Corporate Priorities in relation to the following sections of the Corporate Plan 2008:
 - Section 3 Sustainable Organisation Ensuring we are being efficient in everything we do.
- 2.2 Failure to progress the actions listed on the Development Plan will incur further criticism from Audit Scotland as well as creating strategic risks which would mostly fall into the Corporate Governance Risk Category including Performance Management, Service Delivery, Strategic Vision, Partnership Working, Community Engagement, and Strategic Leadership and Direction.

3 Current Position

3.1 Work is ongoing in some categories and completed in others. The appendix attached to this report shows, in the final column, the updated position in relation to the various actions listed and is written in red for ease of reference.

4 Financial Implications

4.1 There are no additional financial implications relating to this report.

5. Policy and Delegated Authority

5.1 The role of Audit and Scrutiny Committee is to carry out a corporate scrutiny role to evaluate the effectiveness of the Council as an organisation and ensure that effectiveness is actively monitored and measured, as detailed in Section 11 of the Scheme of Delegation.

6 Recommendations

6.1 I recommend that Members note the content of this report.

Report no: LA-19-F Our Ref: SP/RMB 26 March 2010

Risk Management Development Plan

1

| Category | Outstanding Issues | Short Term | Medium Term | Long Term Action | Other Relevant |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| | | Action | Action | | Information |
| 1. RM Strategies | None at present | | Review StrategyMaintain Forward2014looking stance to meet future aims | | |
| | Elected Members to consider risk in decision making process | Inclusion of a Risk Management Section with Committee Reports | Risk Management Training for Members | Maintain Forward- looking stance to meet future aims and consider the long-tail impact of decisions taken now. | Short and medium actions achieved |
| 2. RM Structures & Processes | RM to be written in job descriptions for Operational Managers & above | Liaise with HR | Amend JD's as necessary | | Short and medium actions awaiting implementation |
| | RM to be included within Performance Management & Review & Development | Liaise with Org Dev & HR | Amend Performance Management & Review & Development | | |
| | RM embedded in Change Programmes | Create policy | Implement policy | Monitor Policy | Policy document started. |
| | Define SIC's Risk appetite | Liaise with Executive | Liaise with Partner Organisations | Monitor and refine as appropriate | Scheduled for discussion at Executive |
| 3. Risk Identification & Evaluation | Feedback from Tenants/citizens forums to identify public perception & identify risks | Collate all existing information centrally | Improve SIC consultation process | Monitor, review and refine as appropriate | |
| 4. Risk Recording, | None at present | | Review annually | | |

| Tracking & Reporting | | | | |
|----------------------|---|--|---|--|
| 5. Risk Financing | None at present | | Review annually | |
| | RM included in Induction Training | Liaise with HR Set up training to include this. | | Action achieved |
| | Quality of Training well regarded & feedback informs content & style | Review Training needs and offer corresponding training opportunities | Monitor, review and refine training to meet maturing understanding | Action achieved. Process ongoing. |
| | Facilities for self-training & reference | Establish online options | Monitor effectiveness | |
| | Training as part of the response when things go wrong | Carry out occasional but specific training as appropriate | Monitor feedback | |
| 6. RM Communication | Individual's RM's training reviewed regularly | Ensure RM staff receive continual professional development | Monitor through Performance Appraisal | |
| & Training | Corporate RM training needs assessment | Devise training needs at HoS level and above | Monitor feedback and effectiveness | Action being addressed |
| | RM policies systems & processes communicated, followed & understood by all relevant staff | Incorporate into induction training | Ongoing interaction with staff throughout the Council | Short term action achieved. RM Manual under construction. |
| | Committee Reports contain RM Implications section | Write report to Committee | Converse with report authors to assist them with this section | Short and Medium term actions achieved. |
| | Formally defined levels of RM competencies for Managers & staff | Develop a set of core competencies | Implement and monitor achievement | Action being addressed |

| 6. Communication & Training (continued) | Competencies reviewed & tested through performance appraisal | Incorporate into existing performance appraisal process | Monitor standards and achievements | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| 7. RM Board | None at present | | Review Strategy 2014 | | |
| 8. Corporate Risk Officer | None at present | | | | |
| | Guidance for Managers, e.g. RM Manual, timetable for Risk Identification | Develop a Risk Management Manual | Distribute to all staff and make accessible on intranet. | Ensure working practices adhere to manual. Monitor, review and refine content as appropriate. | Short term action under construction. |
| 9. Managers' Accountability for RM | RM included in Managers performance appraisals | Incorporate into existing performance appraisal process | Monitor standards and achievements | | |
| | Managers able to explain how they manage risks | Incorporate into existing performance appraisal process | Monitor standards and achievements | | |
| | Risks Identified, assessed & documented in accordance with timetable. | Higher focus on Risk Register process. | Spotlight on internal timescales. Regular reports to Executive and Audit & Scrutiny | | |
| 10. Embeddedness of | General culture of RM at all levels driven by the Executive | Initiate acceptance and understanding across all levels | Test embeddedness regularly and report same to Executive and Audit & Scrutiny | | Scheduled for discussion at Executive |
| RM within SIC | Managers understand RM in their own area & have appreciation of wider RM arrangements | Work with colleagues across departments to assist | Single/joined up approach driven by Executive via RM Board | | Scheduled for discussion at Executive |

| | RM accountabilities & performance embedded in Managers recruitment & performance appraisal Competence in managing risk recognised & important for career progression, Service Managers attuned to RM implications of their decisions | Liaise with HR to implement process Work with colleagues across departments to assist | Monitor effectiveness Single/joined up approach driven by Executive via RM Board | | Scheduled for discussion at Executive |
|--|---|---|--|--|---|
| Embeddness of RM within SIC (continued) | Managers think about the RM implications of the way they do business Control strategies appropriate | Work with colleagues across departments to assist Evaluate existing control measures | Single/joined upt approach driven by Executive via RM Board Refine and improve controls as necessary | Move predominantly towards proactive stance across SIC with minimal levels of reactivity | Scheduled for discussion at Executive |
| | RM a regular agenda item at team meetings and Executive meetings | Work with colleagues across departments to assist | RM Staff in attendance at team meetings to assist where appropriate | | |
| | RM incorporated into quality measures, e.g. investors in people, etc. | Determine areas where RM stream is absent | Work with colleagues across departments to ensure RM thread is an integral part of activity | | |
| | Managers required to self-certify performance of RM & internal control in their areas | Increase focus of risk based approach | Implement Risk based approach as a standard practice | | |

| | Departmental teams agree budgets for risk control projects | Encourage 'spend to save' approach to budget use | Work with departments to assist in risk identification & controls | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| Embeddness of RM within SIC (continued) | Cost benefit analysis carried out on risk control measures | Establish this as routine practice | Implement as integral part of departmental activity | | |
| | Early warning mechanisms adequate | Test and assess existing mechanisms | Identify weakness and galvanise accordingly | | Scheduled for discussion at Executive |
| | Risk assessment carried out before every major project | Formalise the risk based approach used for AHS review | Implement Risk Based approach | Monitor, review and refine as appropriate | Short term action being addressed with Capital programmes |
| | Risk assessments review regularly throughout project risk assessment before entering into new partnership | Formalise and improve on existing process | | | Short term action being addressed with Capital programmes |
| Projects & Partnerships | Potential partners required to produce risk assessments | Establish procedures and implement | Maintain risk assessments on JCAD system | | |
| | RM performance of partners reviewed regularly | Create standard for regular submission by partner orgs. | Implement regular review procedures | | Short term action achieved. |
| | Partnership arrangements reviewed regularly | Establish periodic Review dates | Harmonise RM arrangements between partner orgs and SIC | Monitor, review and refine as appropriate | Work ongoing on short and medium terms actions |
| | Effective arrangements on risk sharing | Devise process for spreading risk across partners orgs & SIC | Cost/benefit analysis and risk reviews | Monitor, review and refine as appropriate | Work ongoing on short and medium terms actions |

| | Review existing contracts to highlight risks retained by the organisation | Carry out audit of existing contracts | Address any unacceptable level of risk | | |
|----------------------------|--|--|---|-----------------|--|
| Projects & Partnerships | Risk Assessment carried out when entering into | integral part of | Review as appropriate and | | |
| (continued) | new contractual arrangements | tendering process and input on JCAD system | assess ongoing effectiveness | | |
| | All Risk assessments fully documented | input on JCAD system | Review as appropriate and assess ongoing effectiveness | | |
| RM Information Systems | Finalise implementation & roll out of new system | Resolve teething problems | Roll out training at various levels | Monitor outputs | Short term actions still being worked on |



Shetland Islands Council

REPORT

To: Audit and Scrutiny Committee

7 June 2010

From: Head of Finance Executive Services Department

Report No: F-021-F

OVERTIME EXPENDITURE 2009/10 COMPARED TO 2008/09

1. Introduction

1.1 The Chairperson of Audit and Scrutiny Committee has requested an update on overtime. The purpose of this report is to provide Members with the 2009/10 overtime figures and compare this with the 2008/09 actual previously reported.

2. Links to Corporate Priorities

2.1 It is a Corporate Improvement Plan aim that we will further develop Member engagement in systematic performance reporting, review and scrutiny. This report contributes to that aim.

3. Risk

3.1 This is an information report so there are no risks associated with the recommendations.

4. Summary of 2009/10 Actual compared to 2008/09 Actual Overtime

4.1 The following table shows a summary of overtime incurred by the Council in 2008/09 and 2009/10. The total in 2009/10 is £2,849,090 out of a total employee cost of £98,247,688 (2.9%). As you can see from Table 1 below, Infrastructure Services incurs the majority of overtime, most of which is on Transport Services.

| Table 1 Summary of Overtime by Department | 2008/09 Actual £ | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|-----------|
| Executive Services Education & Social Care Services Infrastructure Services Ports & Harbours Economic Development Unit | 95,302 487,455 1,448,824 437,036 4,750 | 492,467 1,799,892 425,299 | · · · · |
| TOTAL | 2,473,367 | 2,849,090 | (375,723) |

4.2 Table 2 below, shows in more detail the service areas where overtime is incurred.

| Table 2 Overtime by Service Area | 2008/09 Actual | 2009/10 Actual | Variance Under/(Over) |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| | £ | £ | £ |
| | | | |
| Administration & Legal Services | 15,310 | 17,329 | (2,019) |
| Finance | 31,933 | 66,868 | (34,935) |
| Capital Projects | 1,586 | 0 | 1,586 |
| Chief Executive (inc Org Dev) | 46,473 | 40,154 | 6,319 |
| Total Executive Services | 95,302 | 124,351 | (29,049) |
| Community Care | 79,175 | 89,178 | (10,003) |
| Schools | 143,066 | 168,130 | (25,064) |
| Childrens Services | 41,371 | 32,385 | 8,986 |
| Housing | 3 | 1,310 | (1,307) |
| Housing Trading | 149,402 | 117,175 | 32,227 |
| Criminal Justice | 2,125 | 8,469 | (6,344) |
| ED &SC Directorate (inc. leisure svs/library) | 68,408 | 72,914 | (4,506) |
| Adult Learning/Train Shetland/Comm Work | 533 | 492 | 41 |
| Shetland College | 3,372 | 2,414 | 958 |
| Total Education & Social Care Services | 487,455 | 492,467 | (5,012) |
| Environment | 179,290 | 191,325 | (12,035) |
| Transport | 626,401 | 940,931 | (314,530) |
| Infrastructure Directorate | 2,560 | 611 | 1,949 |
| Roads | 36,523 | 44,572 | (8,049) |
| Planning | 5,490 | 1,742 | 3,748 |
| Trading - Building Services | 355,493 | 279,655 | 75,838 |
| Trading - Roads | 243,067 | 341,056 | (97,989) |
| Total Infrastructure Services | 1,448,824 | 1,799,892 | (351,068) |
| T | | 0.17.055 | |
| Towage Service | 368,536 | 347,322 | 21,214 |
| Engineering Service | 25,291 | 31,634 | (6,343) |
| Other Ports Services Ports & Harbours | 43,209 437,036 | 46,343 425,299 | (3,134) 11,737 |
| | 437,030 | 425,299 | 11,757 |
| Economic Development Unit | 4,750 | 7,081 | (2,331) |
| TOTAL | 2,473,367 | 2,849,090 | (375,723) |

4.3 Overtime has increase by £375,723 or 15% from 2008/09. Most of this increase is due to the annual wage award and single status cost increases. Explanations have been provided by the Heads of Service where overtime costs on a service are over £50k.

<u>Finance</u>

The main areas where overtime has been worked are Payroll and Pensions (£39,195) to implement single status, which has also required upgrades to payroll electronic interfaces, implementation of a new Pension Scheme and 'Ports for the Future' project. Revenues (£16,762), staff work overtime during at least two weekends a year to complete the Revenues annual billing/year end tasks. During March of each year Revenues produce in the region of 17,000 Council Tax/Non-Domestic Rates/Council House Rent ' bills' and Benefit notification letters and overtime is essential if we are to meet the statutory deadlines set for the issue of these documents. During 2009-10 additional overtime was worked in Income and Page 2 of 7

Recovery to cover three members of the section on long term sick leave and to successfully implement a new income system module that has allowed the Council to offer more/easier ways to pay Council charges, which has also improved the level of service offered to our customers.

Community Care

Overtime expenditure of £89,178 is due to the inability to recruit in all areas of activities, both in management/administration and in care staff, to allow the continuation of service provision. Part of the overtime claims for this area (for children's svs and criminal justice) is the operation of the standby system. Social Work provides a 24 hour service which requires social workers and some service managers to operate this service over and above the normal 37 hour week.

Schools

Overtime expenditure of £168,130 is largely due to overtime worked by janitors in schools. We have janitorial staff in 14 of our schools and at present, they are required to work overtime to support evening and weekend usage of school premises. A limited amount of overtime is also required of staff working in the Janet Courtney Hostel, when pupils are resident at weekends and a small amount of overtime is worked by the catering and cleaning staff, covering for vacancies and leave.

Housing Trading Services

The Housing DLO (£117,175) is required to deliver repairs to Council houses outside of office hours. This repair period includes, evening, night time, weekend and holiday periods. These repairs are usually repairs of an emergency or statutory nature. Similarly, some of this expenditure relates to out of office activity making temporary homeless accommodation available during weekend, evening and holiday periods. Therefore, the current level of homeless services, urgent and emergency repairs cannot be achieved without paying overtime for such activities. A reduction in overtime expenditure would result in a reduced out of hours service for SIC tenants.

Education & Social Care Directorate

Islesburgh Complex - The overtime costs for Islesburgh of £41,319. The reason for this level of overtime is that Islesburgh Community Centre is open for a minimum of 105 hrs per week, 7 days per week, 50 weeks per year. Therefore to maintain this level of opening hours and service, a minimum of two shifts of staff are required each day. During periods of staff absences, vacancies or annual leave, where no relief staff are available then existing staff are required to work overtime to maintain service levels, particularly in areas such as the Café, reception, cleaning and stewarding.

Islesburgh Community Centre also hosts a number of large annual festivals such as the Shetland Folk Festival, which require the centre to be open for almost 24 hours a day. Therefore, to accommodate these festivals large amounts of overtime are required of staff. However, this overtime cost is more than compensated for by the additional income that is generated over these weekends. As an example, the Shetland Folk Festival in 2009 generated an income of over £20,500 for Islesburgh, from room hires, cafeteria income and hostel bookings, where as a normal weekend might generate no more than £4,000.

Parks Staff - Overtime cost - \pounds 30,320 - The expenditure on this budget is significantly higher than normal for 2009-10. This is because parks staff, were

initially required to work as attendants, in addition to their normal duties, in the Scalloway and Sandwick Games Halls when the Council took over the management of these games Halls from Shetland Recreational Trust in 2009. Games Hall attendants have now been appointed to supervise these facilities at basic rates of pay.

During the main outdoor activities season from April to September each year, parks staff are required to maintain all Sport and Leisure Service outdoor areas e.g. Clickimin, Seafield, Gilbertson Park, Knab Golf Course and Jubilee Flower Park etc. All of this work is done during the normal working day from 7.30am to 3.30pm, Monday to Friday. However, virtually all of the use of these facilities is at night times and at the weekends. Therefore, parks staff are required to work some overtime to be in attendance when the various facilities are being used by the public e.g. to set up and take down goals, supervise the changing rooms and use of the facilities, and to be available in the event of an emergency.

Environment

The overtime for Environment Service is £191,325. The Landfill is open to contractors for 50 hours a week whereas standard working week is 37, Staff also have to work occasional evenings and weekends to meet ongoing site development works.

Overtime at the Energy Recovery Plant relates to cover for sick leave, additional maintenance when shut down and there is contracted overtime as part of shift pattern.

Overtime in burial grounds relates to grass cutting particularly in the summer months and in response to funerals as and when required.

Overtime is scheduled for street cleansing particularly at the weekends in Lerwick.

Some refuse collection routes on particular days incur overtime to complete the routes. Vehicle safety checks are carried out during overtime by drivers to ensure collection of refuse, is carried out by crews during normal working hours.

<u>Transport</u>

Overtime for Transport Services amounted to £940,931. Tingwall Airstrip (£13,119) - Staff contracted for 37 hours per week but the airport is open 42.5 hours per week on winter and 53 hours per week in summer. All out of hours emergency flights incur overtime as they do unscheduled inter island flights at weekends in winter. Cover for periods of leave, sickness, training, etc incur overtime costs as Fleet Management Unit (FMU) Garage staff are used to provide cover and overtime is needed to deliver duties of the Garage which have increased due to specialist vehicle inspections. This is under review as part of the Transport Service Redesign.

Bus Service Whalsay $(\pounds 3,610)$ – Overtime incurred in covering leave, sickness, training, etc. Viking Bus Station $(\pounds 12,251)$ - only one permanent member of staff so overtime incurred covering leave, sickness, etc. At the moment cover is provided by a range of staff including Engineers from the FMU. This is an expensive way to provide cover and is under review. Special Needs School Transport and Social Work Transport (£17,981) – Overtime paid to cover leave, sickness, training and cover out of contracted hours.

Ferry Crewing on all routes (Total £729,981). We incur overtime when any of the crew not available e.g. for leave (incl. compassionate. leave, medicals, etc), sickness, training, vacancies (the service still has several long term vacancies which haven't been able to be filled due to lack of applications), breakdowns, dockings and hires. Vessels have minimum crewing levels and cannot sail shorthanded so have no option (other than tie up the vessel). Now that staff resources are finally in place the Resources Manager is undertaking a review of the entire manning system for the ferries. Ferries Engineering Service (Shore based maintenance team & apprentices) (£50,317) Overtime incurred in covering dockings and breakdowns on the ferry fleet.

The overspend of sea staff overtime budgets is partly due to the way salary costs are allocated post Single Status. Previously, sea staff were paid a consolidated salary for an average 42 hour week. They are now paid a basic rate for 37 hours and overtime at enhanced rates thereafter. The new Unsocial payments are now included as overtime. Sea staff overtime costs appear to have risen by some £45k per month since Single Status was introduced in October 2009. There is no compensating decrease in basic bay because generally this has risen also under single status. This would account for most of the increase. An element of the current year's overtime is due to long term sickness cover and vacant posts. As part of the review of the manning system work is being carried out currently to better understand the reasons for the overtime costs.

Ferry Ops Manager (\pounds 24,467) – Previously all Ferries superintendents were contracted for a 42 hour consolidated week. Single Status has meant that they now work 5 hours contracted overtime per week. Prior to Single Status this was included in Basic salaries and no overtime was paid. There has also been overtime incurred to resolve unforeseen incidents such as "Linga's" collision with Laxo terminal. Having only one Engineering Superintendent he had to work significant extra hours for this and to cover dockings (the working day is generally longer than contracted hours) and breakdowns. Also overtime for callouts and to provide cover to support Transport staff in Lerwick while three post vacant (one post still vacant). Ferry Booking Service (\pounds 3,370) – In the first half of the year staff were still on a contract to work 32 hours per week but had to work 34 hours per week at overtime rates. With the introduction of Single Status all staff are working 37 hours per week on a modified rota therefore overtime payments no longer take place.

Trading Services - Building Services

There has been a 21% reduction in overtime working by Building Services as shown in table 2 above. This was achieved by the introduction of a cap on overtime. While this has resulted in reduced availability to carry out certain functions particularly statutory testing, discussions are on ongoing with staff and trade unions to explore flexible working arrangements which include options for shift arrangements and annualised hours to be tried over a six month period.

Trading Services - Roads

The overtime total for the Trading Account – Roads was \pounds 341,056. The largest single reason for overtime by Roads staff is to provide the Winter Service, which accounts for about £186,013 of that figure.

The next largest is the Scord Quarry which accounts for a further £27,222. The operational hours of the quarry are somewhat dictated by customer demand, for example to be able to start mixing bitmac or to send out materials before the normal start time of other staff so that they can be available on site in time for other staff starting. There is also a need to carry out essential maintenance outwith normal working hours to avoid impacting on production.

There are various reasons for overtime in other areas of our operations. One of these is work outwith normal hours, for example doing work that requires the road to be restricted or closed at times to minimise traffic disruption, or at times to minimise the disruption to shops or businesses.

In all areas, other than the Winter Service, overtime in the Trading Account (Roads) was below the budgeted figures.

Towage Service

Overtime amounted to £347,322. Overtime within the towage operation has always been high due to the current:-

- service level,
- staffing arrangements,
- remuneration of the staff and
- rate of overtime payable.

The towage operation currently operates with 4 tugs and maintains a service 24 hours a day for 365 days a year. The manning levels are se by the Maritime and Coastguard Agency. Each Voith tug has a crew of 4 whilst in harbour limits, however, if the tug proceeds beyond the harbour then the manning level increase to six, two of which are on overtime. The older tug Stanechakker is occasionally used to cover for maintenance periods, when it is in use it has a crewing level of six, (2 of which are on overtime). If any of the duty crew is absent, the only option available at present is to cover with overtime. A tug with a crew of three cannot sail.

The staff onboard the tugs are still under TUPE terms and conditions and currently enjoy more favourable recompense than an individual on a standard SIC contract (e.g. overtime is paid at 2 times the average hourly rate). The approximate percentage breakdown of reasons for tug overtime are shown below.

| Reason | % |
|----------------------------------|-------|
| Training | 14.18 |
| Maintenance | 1.94 |
| Meetings | 0.16 |
| Extra manning eg Stanechakker | 8.94 |
| Call out because of illness | 24.47 |
| Call out because of poor weather | 0.00 |

| Call out for compassionate leave | 5.32 |
|----------------------------------|-------|
| Call out because of union | 0.11 |
| Call out to cover drydock | 16.70 |
| Continuation | 0.52 |
| To cover vacant post | 17.29 |
| Push Up etc. | 0.00 |
| Misc / other | 7.49 |
| New Tugs | 2.90 |

The Ports for the Future project is currently working towards bringing the marine staff into Single Status and to make the operation more efficient.

4.4 To provide you with further detail Appendix A shows overtime expenditure by cost centre.

5. Financial Implications

5.1 There are no financial implications arising from this information report.

6. Policy & Delegated Authority

6.1 In accordance with Section 11.0 of the Council's Scheme of Delegations, the Audit and Scrutiny Committee is responsible for reviewing all aspects of corporate performance.

7. Recommendation

7.1 I recommend that the Audit and Scrutiny Committee note the information contained in this report.

Report No: F-021-F Ref: Accountancy/HKT

Date: 24 May 2010

2009/10 ACTUAL OVERTIME EXPENDITURE

| EXECUTIVE | SERVICES | | | | | |
|-------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------|-----------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Cost Centre | Code Description | Legal and Admin | Finance | Capital Projects Unit | Chief Executive | TOTAL |
| GRB5010 | Emergency Planning | 37 | | | | |
| SRB2000 | Admin Srv Man | 665 | | | | |
| SRB2200 | Committee Services | 128 | | | | |
| SRB3200 | Legal Services | 12,024 | | | | |
| SRB4000 | Service Manager - Insurance & Risk | 2,504 | | | | |
| SRB4200 | Safety | 143 | | | | |
| SRB6001 | Land Surveyor | 1,824 | | | | |
| SRB7000 | Contract Standards | 3 | | | | |
| SRF0100 | Finance Office Services | | 2,986 | | | |
| SRF2200 | Rents & Benefits | | 5,154 | | | |
| SRF2300 | Income & Recovery | | 8,783 | | | |
| SRF2900 | Local Taxation | | 2,825 | | | |
| SRF3100 | Management Accountancy | | 1,725 | | | |
| SRF3400 | Financial Accountancy | | 1,908 | | | |
| SRF3500 | Exp Manager (inc payroll/pensions) | | 12,893 | | | |
| SRF3501 | Pensions | | 2,177 | | | |
| SRF3502 | Payroll | | 24,125 | | | |
| SRF3600 | Payments | | 4,282 | | | |
| SRX0150 | Chief Executive Office | | | | 18,319 | |
| SRX4100 | Personnel P/A/S | | | | 1,676 | |
| SRX4101 | Psnl-Job Evaluation | | | | 1,410 | |
| SRX4302 | ICT Operations | | | | 171 | |
| SRX4303 | ICT Ntwrk & Technicians | | | | 2,645 | |
| SRX4304 | ICT Elec Technicians | | | | 8,032 | |
| SRX4307 | ICT Technicians | | | | 7,901 | |
| TOTAL EXEC | UTIVE SERVICES | 17,329 | 66,858 | - | 40,154 | 124,341 |

| PORTS | | |
|-------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Cost Centre | Code Description | TOTAL |
| PRM0150 | Ports Canteen Service | 75 |
| PRM2110 | Marine Officer | 12,249 |
| PRM2111 | Launch Crews | 6,796 |
| PRM2112 | Towage Crews | 347,322 |
| PRM2200 | Blacksness | 10,843 |
| SRM0100 | Port-Support Services | 691 |
| SRM2000 | Port-Ops Management | 15,689 |
| VRM3200 | Port Engineering Service | 25,769 |
| TOTAL PORTS | | 419,434 |

| ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT UNIT | | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------|--|--|
| Cost Centre | Code Description | TOTAL | | |
| RRD5042 | Tall Ships | 326 | | |
| SRD0000 | EDU Management and Administration | 1,126 | | |
| SRD1000 | Head of Business Development | 370 | | |
| SRD1020 | Marketing Support | 5,258 | | |
| | | | | |
| TOTAL ECON | NOMIC DEVELOPMENT UNIT | 7,081 | | |

EDUCATION & SOCIAL CARE SERVICE

| LUGGATION | & SOCIAL CARE SERVICE | | | | Housing & | | ED&SC Directorate | Adult Learning/ | | |
|--------------------|--|------------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|-------|---------|
| | Code Description | Comm Care | Schools | Childrens Services | Housing & Housing Trading | Criminal Justice | (incuding leisure/ library) | Train Shetland/ Com Wk | SCOFE | TOTAL |
| GRA4100 | CCFW | 2,177 | | | | | | | | |
| GRA4120 GRA4161 | Mental Health Officer Substance Misuse | 398 248 | | | | | | | | |
| GRA5100 | EGRC | 240 541 | | | | | | | | |
| GRA5200 | ILP - Project Manager | 1,142 | | | | | | | | |
| GRA5210 | ILP - Central | 1,144 | | | | | | | | |
| GRA5220 | Stocketgaet | 386 | | | | | | | | |
| GRA5250 | Mental Health Community Support Svs | 2,291 | | | | | | | | |
| GRA5600 | Banksbroo | 1,291 | | | | | | | | |
| GRA5622 | Int Sup Svcs | 1,535 | | | | | | | | |
| GRA6100 GRA6110 | Taing House Viewforth | 37,263 10,231 | | | | | | | | |
| GRA6120 | Edward Thom Hs | 3,603 | | | | | | | | |
| GRA6130 | Handypersons | 3,244 | | | | | | | | |
| GRA6141 | Central - Care at Home | 379 | | | | | | | | |
| GRA8100 | North Haven | 908 | | | | | | | | |
| GRA8110 | Overtonlea | 1,436 | | | | | | | | |
| GRA8120 | Wastview | 1,477 | | | | | | | | |
| GRA8130 | Fernlea | 910 | | | | | | | | |
| GRA8140 GRA8150 | Isleshavn Nordalea | 60 15,725 | | | | | | | | |
| SRA1000 | CC Srv Managers | 348 | | | | | | | | |
| SRA6000 | Older People Management | 2,440 | | | | | | | | |
| GRE1121 | Mossbank NS | _, | 126 | | | | | | | |
| GRE1204 | Bells Brae PS | | 14,613 | | | | | | | |
| GRE1210 | Dunrossness PS | | 5,623 | | | | | | | |
| GRE1221 | Mossbank PS | | 5,940 | | | | | | | |
| GRE1231 | Skerries PS | | 37 | | | | | | | |
| GRE1232 GRE1237 | Sound Primary Whiteness PS | | 6,123 3,601 | | | | | | | |
| GRE1237 GRE1301 | Aith Secondary | | 5,184 | | | | | | | |
| GRE1301 GRE1302 | Anderson HS | | 35,280 | | | | | | | |
| GRE1303 | Baltasound SS | | 5,839 | | | | | | | |
| GRE1305 | Brae Secondary | | 29,590 | | | | | | | |
| GRE1320 | Mid Yell SS | | 3,607 | | | | | | | |
| GRE1328 | Sandwick SS | | 16,017 | | | | | | | |
| GRE1329 | Scalloway SS | | 4,609 | | | | | | | |
| GRE1334 GRE1360 | Whalsay SS Halls of Residence | | 9,897 5,285 | | | | | | | |
| GRE1300 GRE1412 | Staff Development | | 53 | | | | | | | |
| GRE1444 | Inter Educ | | 216 | | | | | | | |
| GRE1522 | Blueprint | | 568 | | | | | | | |
| GRE1602 | Youth Workers | | 4,093 | | | | | | | |
| GRE1606 | Bridges Project | | 1,837 | | | | | | | |
| GRE3470 | Support for Learning | | 872 | | | | | | | |
| GRE4410 | Library | | 1,941 | | | | | | | |
| SRE6901 SRE9305 | Admin Education DSMO - Brae | | 510 | | | | | | | |
| SRE9305 SRE9329 | DSMO - Brae DSMO - Scalloway | | 199 186 | | | | | | | |
| VRE4001 | Aith Catering | | 273 | | | | | | | |
| VRE4009 | Cunningsburgh Catering | | 323 | | | | | | | |
| VRE4016 | Hamnavoe Catering | | 199 | | | | | | | |
| VRE4029 | Scalloway School Catering | | 365 | | | | | | | |
| VRE4037 | Whiteness Catering | | 54 | | | | | | | |
| VRE5002 | AHS Cleaning | | 37 | | | | | | | |
| VRE5005 | Brae Cleaning | | 123 | | | | | | | |
| VRE5016 VRE5017 | Hamnavoe School Cleaning Happyhansel Cleaning | | 8 357 | | | | | | | |
| VRE5017 VRE5028 | Sandwick Cleaning | | 24 | | | | | | | |
| VRE5029 | Scalloway School Cleaning | | 1,706 | | | | | | 1 | |
| VRE5030 | Skeld Cleaning | | 41 | | | | | | | |
| VRE6001 | Office Cleaning | | 1,117 | | | | | | | |
| VRE6002 | Public Conveniences | | 1,658 | | | | | | | |
| GRG2001 | Laburnum | | | 4,182 | | | | | | |
| GRG2002 GRG2009 | Leog Fostering | | | 3,658 2,442 | | | | | | |
| GRG2009 GRG3001 | Fostering Family Support | | | 2,442 | | | | | | |
| GRG4001 | Psychological Services | | | 825 | | | | | | |
| GRG5009 | Inculsion Project | | | 1,354 | | | | | | |
| GRG6002 | Child Care Strategy | | | 526 | | | | | | |
| GRG6004 | Family Centre Services | | | 2,315 | | | | | | |
| GRG6008 | Out of School Care | | | 2,664 | | | | | | |
| GRH1270 | Sheltered Housing | | | | 73 | | | | | |
| GRH2098 | Outreach Services | | | | 657 | | | | | |
| SRH1000 SRH2000 | Operational Services Business Support | | | | 428 153 | | | | | |
| TRH6001 | Trading Revenue Housing | | | | 117,175 | | | | | |
| GRI0001 | Offender Service | | | | , | 8,469 | | | | |
| GRJ3100 | Islesburgh Café | | | | | , | 11,613 | | | |
| GRJ3101 | Islesburgh | | | | | | 29,705 | | | |
| GRJ3107 | Parks General | | | | | | 30,320 | | | |
| SRJ2200 | Staff Management | | | | | | 493 | | | |
| SRJ2300 | Administration | | | | | | 782 | | | |
| GRL4125 | Adult Literacy Plan | | | | | | | 319 173 | | |
| SRL6006 URL5100 | Short Courses Management Student Service | | | | | | | 173 | 1,224 | |
| URL5100 | IT Technicians | | | | | | | | 989 | |
| URL5340 | Health & Care | | | | | | | | 201 | |
| | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | |
| TOTAL EDUC | CATION & SOCIAL CARE SERVICES | 89,178 | 168,130 | 32,385 | 118,486 | 8,469 | 72,914 | 492 | 2,414 | 492,467 |
| | | | | | | | | | 1 | |

INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES

| Cost Contr- | CTURE SERVICES | Environment | Transact | Infrastructure | Poorde | Diancias | Trading Building Sys | Trading | TOT |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------------|--------|----------|-------------------------|---------|------|
| Cost Centre GRY5101 | Code Description Landfill Disposal | Environment 34,133 | Transport | Directorate | Roads | Planning | Building Svs | Roads | ΤΟΤΑ |
| GRY5101 | Waste to Energy | 52,421 | | | | | | | |
| GRY5104 | M.Recycle Facility | 1,357 | | | | | | | |
| GRY5113 | Burial Ground Operations | 12,853 | | | | | | | |
| GRY5129 | Waste Prevention | 80 | | | | | | | |
| GRY5131 | Kerb Scheme | 2,194 | | | | | | | |
| GRY5133 | Glass Re-use | 1,958 | | | | | | | |
| GRY5201 | Public Toilets | 8,645 | | | | | | | |
| GRY5211 | Street Cleaning General | 26,072 | | | | | | | |
| GRY5221 | Refuse Coll General | 34,497 | | | | | | | |
| GRY5224 | Skip Contract | 6,891 | | | | | | | |
| GRY5225 | Community Council Skip Contract | 197 | | | | | | | |
| SRY5200 | Cleansing Service | 4,060 | | | | | | | |
| SRY5400 | Environmental Health | 466 | | | | | | | |
| SRY5501 | Tch/Mn Sup-Building Services | 5,502 | | | | | | | |
| GRY7207 | Tingwall Airstrip | 0,002 | 13,119 | | | | | | |
| GRY7209 | Baltasound Airstrip | | 3 | | | | | | |
| GRY7232 | Bus Service Whalsay | | 3,610 | | | | | | |
| GRY7233 | Lerwick Bus Station | | 12,251 | | | | | | |
| GRY7253 | Special Needs School Transport | | 9,829 | | | | | | |
| GRY7254 | Social Work Transport | | 8,152 | | | | | | |
| GRY7502 | STP Admin Costs | | 4,591 | | | | | | |
| GRY7601 | Bressay Service | | 133,842 | | | | | | |
| GRY7602 | Fair Isle Service | | 3,488 | | | | | | |
| GRY7603 | Fetlar Service | | 19,446 | | | | | | |
| GRY7605 | Papa Stour Service | | 9,005 | | | | | | |
| GRY7606 | Skerries Service | | 60,105 | | | | | | |
| GRY7607 | Unst Service | | 68,596 | | | | | | |
| GRY7608 | Whalsay Service | | 246,142 | | | | | | |
| GRY7609 | Yell Service | | 189,359 | | | | | | |
| SRY7600 | Ferry Ops Manager | | 24,467 | | | | | | |
| SRY7699 | Ferry Book Service | | 3,370 | | | | | | |
| SRY0400 | Infrastructure Admininstration | | 5,570 | 611 | | | | | |
| GRY6711 | Surveys & Inspections | | | 011 | 23,492 | | | | |
| GRY6721 | Winter Service | | | | 4,216 | | | | |
| SRY6100 | Roads Network | | | | 1,963 | | | | |
| SRY6300 | Maintenance | | | | 14,901 | | | | |
| SRY8200 | Development Management | | | | 14,501 | 1,528 | | | |
| SRY8300 | Development Plans | | | | | 214 | | | |
| RY2301 | Maintenance Sch/Bldn | | | | | | 237,921 | | |
| TRY2302 | Maintenance Street Lighting | | | | | | 15,762 | | |
| TRY2344 | Care Homes-Fire/Upgrade | | | | | | 10,864 | | |
| TRY2345 | Baltasound JHS | | | | | | - 349 | | |
| TRY2346 | Mid Yell Workshop | | | | | | 106 | | |
| TRY2347 | JCH Refurb | | | | | | 7,886 | | |
| TRY2600 | Gremista store | | | | | | 7,465 | | |
| TRY9101 | Maintenance Surface Dressing | | | | | | 1,100 | 2,913 | |
| TRY9102 | Maintenance Winter Gritting | | | | | | | 186,013 | |
| TRY9103 | Signs Manufacture | | | | | | | 515 | |
| TRY9104 | Miscellaneous Contracts | | | | | | | 9,076 | |
| TRY9106 | Maintenance Contract | | | | | | | 10,193 | |
| TRY9107 | Capital Maintenance Contract | | | | | | | 10,944 | |
| TRY9108 | Non-Competitive Work | | | | | | | 7,079 | |
| TRY9199 | Highways DLO Overheads | | | | | | | 32,932 | |
| TRY9216 | Whitenss Cemetry | | | | | | | 5 | |
| TRY9217 | N Voe Whalsay | | | | | | | 10 | |
| TRY9219 | Bixter I/change | | | | | | | 429 | |
| TRY9301 | Small Plant | | | | | | | 5,111 | |
| TRY9402 | 3 Way Tipper Crane | | | | | | | 7,827 | |
| TRY9403 | 16 Tonne Truck | | | | | | | 3,446 | |
| TRY9405 | Foden Unibody | | | | | | | 5,949 | |
| TRY9408 | Seddon Unibody | | | | | | | 18,508 | |
| FRY9409 | Gritting/Tanker Truck | | | | | | | 414 | |
| FRY9420 | Road Rollers | | | | | | | 49 | |
| FRY9424 | Bmg RIr L885HPS | | | | | | | 638 | |
| FRY9426 | Dynapac Roller | | | | | | | 280 | |
| FRY9440 | JCB 3S | | | | | | | 1,799 | |
| FRY9451 | Truck Excavator-11t | | | | | | | 395 | |
| FRY9453 | Case WX90 Excavator | | | | | | | 600 | |
| FRY9454 | N/Holland Excavator | | | | | | | 669 | |
| FRY9461 | Truck Sprayer | | | | | | | 944 | |
| FRY9462 | Bitmac Pavers | | | | | | | 735 | |
| FRY9463 | Biteeli Planer | | | | | | | 318 | |
| FRY9464 | Chipping Spreader | | | | | | | 670 | |
| FRY9471 | Johnston Sweeper | | | | | | | 729 | |
| FRY9499 | Transport Man | | | | | | | 4,645 | |
| FRY9500 | Scrod Quarry | | | | | | | 6,923 | |
| FRY9501 | Scord Quarrying | | | | | | | 368 | |
| FRY9502 | Scord Crushing | | | | | | | 12,195 | |
| FRY9503 | Scord Mixing | | | | | | | 7,737 | |
| PRM0150 | Ports Canteen Service | | | | | | | | |
| PRM2110 | Marine Officer | | | | | | | | |
| PRM2111 | Launch Crews | | | | | | | | |
| PRM2112 | Towage Crews | | | | | | | | |
| PRM2200 | Blacksness | | | | | | | | |
| SRM0100 | Port-Support Services | | | | | | | | |
| SRM2000 | Port-Ops Management | | | | | | | | |
| GCD1570 | Wind Farm Development | | | | | | | | |
| SRD0000 | EDU Management and Administration | | | | | | | | |
| SRD1000 | Head of Business Development | | | | | | | | |
| SRD1020 | Marketing Support | | | | | | | | |
| /RM3200 | Port Engineering Service | | | | | | | | |
| VRY7295 | Fleet Management Unit | | 81,242 | | | | | | |
| | Ferries Engineering Service | | 50,317 | | | | | | |
| RY7695 | | | 00,017 | | | | | | |
| 'RY7695 | | | I | | | | | I | |



7 June 2010

REPORT

To: Audit and Scrutiny Committee

From: Policy Manager

Diesel Usage Update

Report No: CE-17-F

1 Introduction

1.1 This report provides Members with an update on diesel usage across all service areas.

2 Link to Corporate Priorities

- 2.1 The Corporate Plan 2008-11 includes an aim to "ensure we are being efficient in everything we do". This report, which sets out savings in diesel usage across the Council, contributes to that aim.
- 2.2 The Council's Budget Strategy sets out a commitment to reducing the draw on reserves to support General Fund revenue spending, each year, eliminating this altogether by 2012-13. The Council is also committed through the Single Outcome Agreement to reducing carbon emissions. There is a risk that these commitments may not be fulfilled if we do not take a systematic approach to reducing things like diesel usage.

3 Background

- 3.1 The Audit and Scrutiny Committee carried out an extensive study into the private use of Council vehicles during 2008. Upon conclusion of that study, the Committee recommended to Council that services reduce their diesel usage by 5% for each of the next 4 years. This was endorsed at the Council meeting on 22nd October (min ref: 143/08) and is therefore current Council policy.
- 3.2 The requirement for services to make the 5% reduction in diesel was communicated to Service Managers after the Council meeting in late 2008.
- 3.3 The Appendix to this report details the diesel consumption rates across Council services for the 2009-10 financial year. This follows

on from the report that was at this Committee in November 2009, showing the half-year position.

4 Results

- 4.1 In order to provide some trend data, the rates for the financial year 2007-08 are also provided as that was the year that the policy decision was taken to reduce diesel usage.
- 4.2 The figures are taken direct from the Council's 'Triscan' fuel system and are collated by the Energy Unit. They show that a saving of 6.04% has been achieved compared to 2007-08. This equates to a saving of 41,751 litres.
- 4.3 There are a few notable examples of service areas that have achieved considerable savings in their diesel usage:

<u>Building Services</u> – who are one of the main areas with vans – have reduced their usage by over 12%. This may in part be due to the LEAN exercise that was carried out to in January 2009 try to streamline processes for building maintenance

<u>Roads Services</u> – who have most vehicles – total diesel usage over the period has reduced by almost 18%

4.4 There are a few areas that have increased their diesel usage:

<u>Waste Services</u> – up almost 45%. This is due to 2 new vehicles that were introduced to the fleet to carry out the kerbside collection of recyclables and commercial and bottle bank collections, since 2007-08.

<u>Social Work</u> – up by 13%. This seems to be due to the fact that care centres registered a '0' usage rate in the baseline year. Criminal Justice usage is up by 80% over the period.

5 Financial Implications

5.1 There are no financial implications arising from this report. However, the reduction in fuel will represent a saving to the Council in its operational budgets.

6 Policy and Delegated Authority

6.1 As outlined in Section 10 of the Council's Scheme of Delegations, the remit of the Audit and Scrutiny Committee includes reviewing Council performance information.

7 Conclusion

7.1 This short report has sought to update Members on the diesel usage rates across the Council, as a further update to the study carried out in 2008 for the Audit and Scrutiny Committee.

8 Recommendations

8.1 I recommend that members of the Audit and Scrutiny Committee review the attached figures, highlighting the areas which have made the biggest savings and asking managers for further information to recognise the efforts that they have made to meet the policy target.

Date: 27 May 2010 Ref: PP Report No: CE-17-F

| | | | Con | Energy Savings | | | |
|---------------------|--|---------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------|
| Service | Site | | Ultra I | Energy | Energy Savings | | |
| Service | Site | Lit | res | kV | Vh | % | kWh |
| | | 2007/08 | 2009/10 | 2007/08 | 2009/10 | 70 | KWII |
| AHS Technician | AHS Technician | 2,179 | 2,185 | 23,058 | 23,117 | -0.26 | -59 |
| AHS Technician To | tal | 2,179 | 2,185 | 23,058 | 23,117 | -0.26 | -59 |
| Building Serv | Building Serv - Building Maint DLO | 56,944 | 51,588 | 602,465 | 545,803 | 9.41 | 56,662 |
| Building Serv | Building Serv - Catering | 1,169 | 0 | 12,367 | 0 | 100.00 | 12,367 |
| Building Serv | Building Serv - Cleaning | 1,196 | 0 | 12,657 | 0 | 100.00 | 12,657 |
| Building Serv | Building Serv - Cleaning2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | #DIV/0! | 0 |
| Building Serv | Building Serv - Gremista Stores | 90 | 34 | 952 | 354 | 62.78 | 598 |
| Building Serv | Building Serv - Liftecs | 6,089 | 5,837 | 64,418 | 61,751 | 4.14 | 2,667 |
| Building Serv Total | | 65,488 | 57,458 | 692,860 | 607,909 | 12.26 | 84,951 |
| Chief Exec | Chief Exec | 1,579 | 1,388 | 16,707 | 14,681 | 12.13 | 2,026 |
| Chief Exec Total | | 1,579 | 1,388 | 16,707 | 14,681 | 12.13 | 2,026 |
| Cleansing Serv | Cleansing Serv - Cleansing Suppor | 2,392 | 1,162 | 25,311 | 12,289 | 51.45 | 13,022 |
| Cleansing Serv | Cleansing Serv - Community Skips | 3,021 | 2,763 | 31,960 | 29,233 | 8.53 | 2,728 |
| Cleansing Serv | Cleansing Serv - Public Toilets | 821 | 724 | 8,685 | 7,664 | 11.76 | 1,021 |
| Cleansing Serv | Cleansing Serv - Refuse Collection | 71,870 | 68,381 | 760,379 | 723,475 | 4.85 | 36,904 |
| Cleansing Serv | Cleansing Serv - Skip Contract | 12,142 | 12,118 | 128,461 | 128,206 | 0.20 | 255 |
| Cleansing Serv | Cleansing Serv - St Cleaning | 17,872 | 20,024 | 189,085 | 211,856 | -12.04 | -22,771 |
| Cleansing Serv Tot | · · · | 108,117 | 105,172 | 1,143,881 | 1,112,723 | 2.72 | 31,158 |
| | Community Services | 3,860 | 3,409 | 40,837 | 36,068 | 11.68 | 4,768 |
| Community Service | , | 3,860 | 3,409 | 40,837 | 36,068 | 11.68 | 4,768 |
| Education | Educ - Catering | 0 | 1,165 | 0 | 12,325 | #DIV/0! | -12,325 |
| Education | Educ - Cleaning | 0 | 913 | 0 | 9,662 | #DIV/0! | -9,662 |
| Education | Educ Services | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0,002 | #DIV/0! | 0,002 |
| Education Total | | 0 | 2,078 | 0 | 21,986 | #DIV/0! | -21,986 |
| Env Services | Env Serv - ABS-NSW | 511 | 965 | 5,407 | 10,205 | -88.73 | -4,798 |
| Env Services | Env Serv - Pest Control | 6,247 | 3,788 | 66,092 | 40,078 | 39.36 | 26,014 |
| Env Services Total | | 6,758 | 4,753 | 71,500 | 50,284 | 29.67 | 20,014 |
| Ferry Services | Ferry Services | 0,700 | 21,573 | 0 | 228,243 | #DIV/0! | -228,243 |
| Ferry Services Tota | | 0 | 21,573 | 0 | 228,243 | #DIV/0! | -228,243 |
| Finance | Debt Collector | 460 | 21,373 | 4,862 | 0 | 100.00 | 4,862 |
| Finance Total | | 400 460 | 0 | 4,862 | 0 | 100.00 | 4,862 |
| | EMLL Earno Mini Rus | | | | | | |
| FMU FMU | FMU - Fame Mini Bus FMU - Gremista Garage | 398 3,877 | 0 3,873 | 4,206 41,018 | 0 40,971 | 100.00 0.11 | 4,206 |
| FMU | FMU - Social Work Transport | 0 | 3,073 | 41,018 | 40,971 | #DIV/0! | 47 |
| FMU | | | 8,969 | 76,484 | | | ÷ |
| FMU | FMU - Special Needs Buses | 7,229 | | | 94,888 | -24.06 | -18,404 |
| | FMU - Tingwall Airstrip | 492 | 379 | 5,207 | 4,010 | 23.00 | 1,198 |
| FMU | FMU - Vehicles | 3,974 | 4,955 | 42,046 | 52,419 | -24.67 | -10,373 |
| FMU | FMU - Walls Buses | 40,570 | 46,299 | 429,233 | 489,839 | -14.12 | -60,606 |
| FMU | FMU - Whalsay Buses | 414 | 370 | 4,382 | 3,910 | 10.77 | 472 |
| FMU Total | | 56,954 | 64,843 | 602,575 | 686,037 | -13.85 | -83,461 |
| Housing | Housing Serv - DLO | 54,881 | 50,181 | 580,643 | 530,914 | 8.56 | 49,729 |
| Housing | Staney Hill Hostel | 0 | 555 | 0 | 5,874 | #DIV/0! | -5,874 |
| Housing | Housing Services | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | #DIV/0! | 0 |
| Housing Total | | 54,881 | 50,736 | 580,643 | 536,788 | 7.55 | 43,855 |
| ICT | ICT | 4,213 | 5,159 | 44,570 | 54,578 | -22.45 | -10,008 |
| ICT Total | | 4,213 | 5,159 | 44,570 | 54,578 | -22.45 | -10,008 |
| Library | Library | 6,889 | 6,058 | 72,881 | 64,089 | 12.06 | 8,792 |
| Library Total | | 6,889 | 6,058 | 72,881 | 64,089 | 12.06 | 8,792 |
| Marine Ops | Marine Ops | 52,471 | 28,078 | 555,140 | 297,061 | 46.49 | 258,079 |
| Marine Ops | Boats | 759 | 144 | 8,032 | 1,519 | 81.09 | 6,513 |
| Marine Ops Total | | 53,230 | 28,221 | 563,172 | 298,580 | 46.98 | 264,592 |
| Roads Serv | 4 Wheelers | 27,993 | 22,039 | 296,168 | 233,177 | 21.27 | 62,991 |
| Roads Serv | 6 Wheelers | 28,363 | 22,152 | 300,082 | 234,369 | 21.90 | 65,712 |

Page 1 of 2

| | | | Consumption | | | | | |
|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Service | Site | | Ultra Diesel Plus Litres kWh | | | | | |
| | | 2007/08 | tres 2009/10 | 2007/08 | 2009/10 | % | kWh | |
| Roads Serv | CAT 307 | 6 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 100.00 | 65 | |
| Roads Serv | DLO Overheads | 36,232 | 25,713 | 383,337 | 272,039 | 29.03 | 111,297 | |
| Roads Serv | DLO Small Plant | 26 | 12 | 279 | 125 | 55.30 | 154 | |
| Roads Serv | Grit-Tankers | 5,393 | 3,221 | 57,060 | 34,073 | 40.29 | 22,987 | |
| Roads Serv | Group 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | #DIV/0! | 0 | |
| Roads Serv | Highway Pick-ups | 69,724 | 40,687 | 737,676 | 430,468 | 41.65 | 307,207 | |
| Roads Serv | HINO Tippers | 0 | 19,584 | 0 | 207,202 | #DIV/0! | -207,202 | |
| Roads Serv | JCBs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | #DIV/0! | 0 | |
| Roads Serv | Johnson Sweeper | 7,276 | 5,210 | 76,979 | 55,126 | 28.39 | 21,853 | |
| Roads Serv | Lab - Hayfield House | 677 | 550 | 7,158 | 5,822 | 18.67 | 1,336 | |
| Roads Serv | MF 650 Tractors | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | #DIV/0! | 0 | |
| Roads Serv | Roads Job | 1,665 | 1,885 | 17,620 | 19,944 | -13.19 | -2,324 | |
| Roads Serv | Scord Admin | 547 | 376 | 5,789 | 3,977 | 31.30 | 1,812 | |
| Roads Serv | Scord Drilling | 3 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 100.00 | 26 | |
| Roads Serv | Survey&Inspection | 8,356 | 8,579 | 88,409 | 90,766 | -2.67 | -2,357 | |
| Roads Serv | Transport Mgmt | 1,430 | 1,754 | 15,126 | 18,555 | -22.67 | -3,429 | |
| Roads Serv | Truck Sprayer | 2,530 | 0 | 26,767 | 0 | 100.00 | 26,767 | |
| Roads Serv | Unibody - Fodden | 10,244 | 11,822 | 108,385 | 125,075 | -15.40 | -16,690 | |
| Roads Serv | Unibody - MAN | 33,545 | 43,365 | 354,910 | 458,799 | -29.27 | -103,888 | |
| Roads Serv | Unibody - Sedden | 25,112 | 10,509 | 265,689 | 111,184 | 58.15 | 154,505 | |
| Roads Serv | Unst Airport | 20,112 | 401 | 280 | 4,244 | -1,413.58 | -3,963 | |
| Roads Serv | Winter Service | 5,301 | 382 | 56,082 | 4,039 | 92.80 | 52,043 | |
| Roads Serv Total | | 264,451 | 218,241 | 2,797,888 | 2,308,984 | 17.47 | 488,904 | |
| Social Work | Social Work | 1,351 | 1,440 | 14,294 | 15,233 | -6.57 | -940 | |
| Social Work | Criminal Justice | 411 | 740 | 4,349 | 7,830 | -80.03 | -3,481 | |
| Social Work | Isleshaven CC | 0 | 746 | 4,040 | 7,682 | #DIV/0! | -7,682 | |
| Social Work | Laburnum | 0 | 1,318 | 0 | 13,940 | #DIV/0! | -13,940 | |
| Social Work | Leog | 0 | 645 | 0 | 6,826 | #DIV/0! | -6,826 | |
| Social Work | New Craigielea | 0 | 826 | 0 | 8,734 | #DIV/0! | -8,734 | |
| Social Work | North Haven CC | 0 | 1,571 | 0 | 16,622 | #DIV/0! | -16,622 | |
| Social Work | Occup Health | 0 | 1,689 | 0 | 17,870 | #DIV/0! | -17,870 | |
| Social Work | Overtonlea CC | | 943 | 0 | 9,979 | #DIV/0! | -9,979 | |
| Social Work | Shetland Family Services | 0 494 | 588 | 5,231 | 6,220 | -18.91 | -989 | |
| Social Work | Stocketgaet | 494 | 362 | 0 | 3,825 | #DIV/0! | -3,825 | |
| Social Work | | 0 | 302 | 0 | | #DIV/0! | | |
| Social Work | Taing House Transport | 34,254 | 27,103 | 362,406 | 3,447 286,751 | #DIV/0! 20.88 | - <mark>3,447</mark> 75,655 | |
| Social Work | Wastview CC | 04,204 | 1,412 | 302,400 0 | 14,939 | #DIV/0! | -14,939 | |
| Social Work | Viewforth | 0 | 1,412 | 0 | 14,939 | #DIV/0! | -14,939 | |
| Social Work Total | | | | - | | | | |
| Trading Standards | Trading Standarda | 36,510 165 | 41,269 | 386,280 | 436,627 528 | -13.03 69.76 | -50,347 | |
| , v | Trading Standards | 165 | 50 | 1,746 | | 69.76 | 1,218 | |
| Trading Standards | | | 50 | 1,746 | 528 | | 1,218 | |
| Waste Services | Burial Grounds | 12,844 | 12,486 | 135,894 | 132,101 | 2.79 #DIV/01 | 3,793 | |
| Waste Services | Glass Re-use | 0 | 9,847 | 0 | 104,184 | #DIV/0! | -104,184 | |
| Waste Services | Kerb Recycling | 2,302 | 5,065 | 24,352 | 53,585 | -120.04 | -29,233 | |
| Waste Services | Rova Head | 9,968 | 9,102 | 105,466 | 96,299 | 8.69 | 9,167 | |
| Waste Services | Waste Prevention | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | #DIV/0! | 0 | |
| Waste Services | Waste to Energy | 280 | 285 | 2,959 | 3,014 | -1.86 | -55 | |
| Waste Services Tot | | 25,394 | 36,785 | 268,671 | 389,183 | -44.86 | -120,513 | |
| Grand Total (C | | 691,128 | 649,377 | 7 340 404 | 6,870,407 | 6.04 | 441,725 | |
| | | | | 7,312,131 el compared to th | | | ++1,/23 | |

Saving 41,751 litres of diesel compared to the same period in 2007/08.



REPORT

To: Audit & Scrutiny Committee

7 June 2010

From: Service Manager – Internal Audit

INTERNAL AUDIT – Annual Report 2009/10 Report No: F-028-F

1. Introduction and Key Decisions

- 1.1 This report is being presented to the Audit & Scrutiny Committee, as one of the Committee's roles is to act as the Audit Committee of Shetland Islands Council.
- 1.2 This report presents Members with an Annual Report (Appendix 1) of the activity of the Internal Audit Service for 2009/10.
- 1.3 The Annual Report is for noting.

2. Link to Council Priorities

- 2.1 Although not directly contributing to a specific corporate priority, this report, which provides Members with an update on audit activity, contributes to improving the arrangements for Member engagement in monitoring Council performance.
- 2.2 Whilst no specific risk can be attributed to this report, Internal Audit facilitates reduction of risks identified as a result of work undertaken. This can only be the case if Council management act as per action plans to deal with the issues identified by Internal Audit.

3. Background

3.1 The purpose of Internal Audit, as defined in the Audit Charter is to reassure Council Members that:

- there is adequate monitoring of the internal control environment throughout Council operations so that serious breakdowns are avoided, and
- the Council's system of internal control is both sound and effective so that its assets are safeguarded and its performance reporting can be accepted with confidence.

4. Annual Report 2009/10

- 4.1 Appendix 1 details the Internal Audit activity for 2009/10, with a brief description of key audit issues identified since the six monthly progress report to Audit & Scrutiny Committee on 25 November 2009. Full copies of Internal Audit reports, the strategic plan and the annual plan can be found on Internal Audit's site on the Council Intranet. (http://www.shetland.gov.uk/internalaudit/)
- 4.2 Managers have generally undertaken to rectify issues through the agreed action plans to a satisfactory degree. From time to time the Audit & Scrutiny Committee may be asked for support when management are slow to respond to reports.
- 4.3 However, in relation to the key issues identified in the original audits of Legal Services and Transport, follow up audit work has revealed that progress has not been in accordance with action plans submitted.
- 4.4 Within service areas subject to Internal Audit review, and assuming implementation of the recommendations made, reasonable assurance can be placed upon the controls in operation. Follow up audits will be performed during this financial year to ascertain whether recommendations have indeed been implemented in accordance with action plans.
- 4.5 Whilst there has been some improvement, pervasive Council-wide issues still include disclosure checks not always being in place, performance reviews not being performed on an annual basis and absence management requirements not being routinely performed. These and other areas will now be part of the corporate reviews Internal Audit have introduced with effect from 2010/11.
- 4.6 Risk Registers throughout the Council are still not completed. Completion of these is imperative for Internal Audit to fully assess risks faced by the Council. At present our Risk Based strategic plan is based upon our knowledge and experience, as up to date Risk Registers have not been available. A confirmed Council-wide completion date continues to be extended.
- 4.7 The audit of Economic Development highlighted a number of key audit issues. However commitment to address these has been given and will be subject to review at follow up audit this financial year.

- 4.8 Internal Audit also liaises with the Council's External Auditors, providing them with copies of all reports issued. This arrangement is reciprocated and is working satisfactorily.
- 4.9 Notwithstanding the issues highlighted in Appendix 1 from the work performed as part of the annual audit plan for 2009/10, Internal Audit concluded that the Council's system of internal control was generally adequate and effective to provide reasonable assurance that the assets were safeguarded, waste or inefficiency was avoided, reliable financial information was produced and that value for money was continuously sought. This assumes that issues identified and agreed are or have been addressed.
- 4.10 Any system of internal control can only provide reasonable, but not absolute, assurance against loss. Internal Audit can only provide reasonable comment that there are no major weaknesses in the systems they have reviewed.

5. Internal Audit Resourcing

- 5.1 The Internal Audit Service is fully resourced with staff progressing their individual training plans.
- 5.2 The Principal Internal Auditor, Anona Michael, has achieved the Institute of Internal Auditors QICA (Qualification in Computer Audit) qualification. The Technical Assistant, Ryan McNeillie, continues to progress well in his second year of study towards an HNC Business.

6. Internal Audit Performance

- 6.1 For 2009/10 Internal Audit has performed 94% of the Audit Plan. This compares to 88% completion in 08/09. This is directly attributable to the hard work of Internal Audit staff.
- 6.2 The performance target of issuing final reports within 14 days of audit testing completion was achieved in 100% of cases.
- 6.3 Internal questionnaires completed and returned by auditees indicate a high level of satisfaction with the service received. A recent independent survey of Executive Services undertaken by Organisational Development indicated 100% of respondents were fairly or very satisfied with the service Internal Audit provided.
- 6.4 During 2009/10 Internal Audit once again retained ISO 9001:2000 quality accreditation standard.

7. Financial Implications

7.1 There are no financial implications arising from this report.

8. Policy and Delegated Authority

8.1 As described in Section 10.0 of the Council's Scheme of Delegations, the Audit & Scrutiny Committee remit includes consideration of audit matters and one of its roles is to serve as the Council's Audit Committee.

9. Recommendations

- 9.1 I recommend that the Audit & Scrutiny Committee:
 - (a) note with concern the issues identified in the Annual Report 2009/10, set out at paragraph 4 and Appendix 1, particularly in relation to Economic Development, outstanding issues in Transport and Legal Services and also disclosure check matters.

Date: 26 May 2010 Our Ref: CMcI/DS

Report No: F-028-F

| Audit | Auditee | Progress Report / Key Audit Issues | | |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| ICT | ICT Unit Manager | Reported to Audit & Scrutiny Nov 09. | | |
| Community Work | Community Work Manager | Reported to Audit & Scrutiny Nov 09. | | |
| Waste Services | Waste Services Manager | Reported to Audit & Scrutiny Nov 09. | | |
| Cleansing Services | Cleansing Services Manager | Reported to Audit & Scrutiny Nov 09. | | |
| Sport & Leisure / Islesburgh | Sport & Leisure Services Manager | Reported to Audit & Scrutiny Nov 09. | | |
| Members' Allowances | Administration Officer – Executive Office | Reported to Audit & Scrutiny Nov 09. | | |
| Asset & Properties | Asset & Properties Manager | Reported to Audit & Scrutiny Nov 09. | | |
| Economic Development | Head of Economic Development | A significant number of audit issues were identified during the course of this audit, five of which were regarded as key audit issues: 1. Management must put procedures into place to ensure that all equity dividends due are received. In addition, a review of previous financial years should be undertaken to ensure that all income due is received. 2. Tax implications concerning existing SDT loans portfolio, SIC new loans portfolio and all other assets transferring to the Council must be thoroughly investigated and understood prior to transfer. The main issue here is that the questions have not been asked and the answers are unknown. | | |

Page 1 of 8



| | | Professional advice must be sought in relation to all VAT issues. In order to ensure completeness of income, procedures must be put in place to ensure that the income due can be reconciled to independent/back up documentation. SDT surplus funds are being disbursed unconditionally, resulting in public funds not being appropriately accounted for. This is in conflict with the principles of following the public pound. |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| Payments | Payments Manager | No key audit issues were identified with all other issues satisfactorily addressed within the agreed action plan. |
| Development Management | Development Management Manager | In consultation with the Head of Service this has been delayed until the first quarter of 2010/11. |
| Payroll & Pensions | Expenditure Manager | No key audit issues were identified. Commitment was given to resolve issues raised. |
| Trading Standards | Trading Standards Service Manager | One key audit issue was identified: A new employee was started in post before clearance had been received from Disclosure Scotland. In addition, disclosure for the remaining four employees lapsed in 2008 and had not been renewed. Commitment was given to resolve this issue and the one other matter identified |
| Environmental Health | Environmental Health Manager | One key audit issue was identified: Four posts within Environmental Health required to be disclosed by Disclosure Scotland. Whilst they have been disclosed in the past, their disclosure had not been renewed and they were |

Page 2 of 8



| | | currently not disclosed in accordance with Council policy. |
|------------------|---------------------------|---|
| | | Commitment was given to resolve this issue and the other matters identified. |
| | | Two key audit issues were identified: |
| | | An individual started in post before Disclosure Scotland check was received and a number of other staff at the College were not currently disclosure checked or their disclosure had lapsed. Some staff have been disclosure checked but were not on Staffing Section's database and, accordingly, will fall outwith their renewal procedure. |
| Shetland College | Director Shetland College | The level of security and control surrounding information and technology at the College does not meet minimum recognised standards, in particular, access and password controls and internet access and filtering. In addition, the Council's ICT Security Policy is not applied within the College environment for either students or employees. Consideration should be given to the appropriateness of having a separate autonomous ICT Unit at the College or whether the support of the Council's ICT Unit would provide best value. |
| | | In addition a number of policy and control issues were identified many of which were resolved during the audit. Commitment has been received to address all issues. |
| | | |

Page 3 of 8



Shetland Islands Council Internal Audit Report to Council Members 2009/10

| Follow up Audit | Auditee | Progress Report |
|------------------------------------|---|---|
| Capital Programme Service | Capital Programme Service Manager | Reported to Audit & Scrutiny Nov 09. |
| Roads – Network & Design | Network & Design Manager | Reported to Audit & Scrutiny Nov 09. |
| Library | Library & Information Services Manager | Reported to Audit & Scrutiny Nov 09. |
| | | It was disappointing that the majority of the matters identified had not been adequately progressed to resolution including the two key audit issues: |
| Legal & Admin - Legal | Acting Divisional Manager - Legal | The situation with regard to the post of Acting Divisional Manager and other staffing arrangements are still not finalised. The Acting Divisional Manager has been in this situation for ten years. |
| | | Council house sales are still not being expeditiously processed. It is acknowledged that prolonged absence of a key member of staff may have contributed to this situation. |
| | | A response to the follow up audit has since been received which sets out specific target dates for resolution of these two issues and other matters outstanding. |
| Ferries | Ferry Services Manager | Whilst a number of issues were outstanding, the Ferry Services Manager attributed this to a shortage of staff in Ferries and Transport as a whole. A number of posts have now been filled and commitment was given that, when the new incumbents are fully familiar with their duties, the remaining audit issues would be addressed. |
| Finance – Financial Accountancy | Financial Accountant | A number of issues were outstanding. However a commitment to address these has been received. Resourcing levels in the section |

Page 4 of 8



| | Head of Ports & Harbours | have been highlighted recently in relation to issues with the final accounts. The Head of Finance has discussed these with the Financial Accountant and it has been agreed resource will be allocated to the section as required. The majority of issues have been or are being addressed. Commitment |
|------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Ports & Harbours | Operations | was given to ensure outstanding matters were finalised. |
| | | Eight key audit issues were identified during the original audit and it is disappointing that most of them have not been resolved: Council Standing Orders are still not always observed. In one case the purchase of two buses was undertaken without a proper tender process. Due to the amounts involved (£195,900) this was |
| Transport | Head of Transport | also in breach of EU Regulations. Three second hand gritters were purchased totalling £155,211. Standing Orders permit the purchase of second hand items provided the Chair of the relevant committee authorises the purchase. If the sum is greater than five times the de minimis a report also requires to be submitted to Committee. In this case the total was £155,211. No authorisation or subsequent report was evidenced. |
| | | Whilst there has been some improvement in relation to disclosures for internal staff it is apparent that not all disclosure checks required have been undertaken. This applies to both internal staff and also to drivers for external contractors. Details are not held by Transport of all external contractor drivers. However, it would appear the Transport service has been working with the Staffing Section at Hayfield House who have made concerted efforts to try and ensure all drivers are disclosed but it is still far from certain that all contractor drivers are disclosure |

Page 5 of 8



| checked. Clear responsibilities in relation to disclosure for contractors require to be established. |
|--|
| An accurate hourly charge out rate at the garage has still to be established. |
| Service Level Agreements with third parties have not been developed although the Service Manager Air, Bus and Fleet has recently completed training to enable this now to be undertaken. |
| A review of the budgets for 2009/10 identified that a number of anomalies still existed in the budgeted figures as opposed to actuals. |
| The following key audit issues have been partially addressed: |
| It was apparent from conversation there is a greater awareness of Council policy and procedure. However Corporate Induction Training was not attended by a member of staff new to the Council. |
| • Procedural documentation for the service has been developed in some but not all areas. |
| • Contracts are still not in place for the majority of bus services. However all routes and contractors have now been identified and a project set up to address the matter over the next 2 years. There was also evidence of contracts awarded since the original audit being tendered appropriately. However certificates of vehicle fitness and evidence of adequate insurance cover is not in place for a substantial number of contractors. In addition a revised |

Page 6 of 8



| | | select contractor list for ASN Transport was not evident. These issues will require addressed as part of the aforementioned project. |
|---|------------------------------|---|
| | | Contracts held are now appropriately stored however criteria for award and retention and destruction issues require documented |
| | | In addition a significant number of other issues identified during the original audit had not been resolved. |
| | | The Head of Transport has responded to the report and acknowledges the situation. He attributes the situation down to staff shortages which will be fully resolved from 7 th June and he intends to have all matters addressed by the end of the financial year. He will provide Audit & Scrutiny with a progress report in 2011 with a further Internal Audit due in 2012/13. |
| Finance – Income & Recovery - Cashiers | Income & Recovery Manager | All issues had been or were being addressed. |
| Finance – Benefits & Rents | Benefits Manager | With the exception of one issue all matters within the control of Benefits & Rents had been resolved. Commitment was given to finalising the outstanding issue. |
| Youth Work | Youth Services Manager | Reported to Audit & Scrutiny Nov 09. |

Page 7 of 8

Appendix10910monthlyreport



Shetland Islands Council Internal Audit Report to Council Members 2009/10

| Investigations / Reviews | Requested by | Progress Report |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---|
| Corporate Review – Single Status | Strategic Audit Plan | Reported to Audit & Scrutiny Nov 09. |
| SUMS College | College Principal | Reported to Audit & Scrutiny Nov 09. |
| Performance Indicators | External Audit | Reported to Audit & Scrutiny Nov 09. |
| ICT Network Review | Strategic Audit Plan | One key issue was identified: Security issues are a standing item for consideration on the project initiation document (PID) for each project. However, the section normally states 'in accordance with the ICT Security Policy'. The details regarding the ongoing application, monitoring and review of internal security arrangements concerning the network such as privilege access, password control, configuration and activity logs are not clearly defined or documented. A number of other matters were reported on. Satisfactory commitment to address these was received. A number have involved Project Boards being set up. This report is not on the intranet due to security issues. |
| Remote Working Review | Strategic Audit Plan | Reported to Audit & Scrutiny Nov 09. |
| LEADER | Economic Development | This work was undertaken as part of a Service Level Agreement put in place with Economic Development. |

Page 8 of 8

Appendix10910monthlyreport





Shetland Islands Council

REPORT

To: Audit and Scrutiny Committee Shetland Islands Council 7 June 2010 30 June 2010

From: Policy Manager

CE-18-F

Annual Audit and Scrutiny Committee activity report

1 Introduction

1.1 When the original Scrutiny Committee was established back in 2006, a requirement was established for an annual report to be produced, outlining the areas that the Committee had covered during the past 12 months. This report fulfils that requirement.

2 Links to Corporate Priorities

- 2.1 This report does not directly contribute to the priority areas set out in the Council's Corporate Plan 2008-11. However, the audit and scrutiny function is an important part of ensuring that the Council is challenging itself and delivering continuous improvement.
- 2.2 Ensuring that there are mechanisms in place for delivering effective governance and accountability are fundamental Best Value 2 characteristics which all Councils in Scotland are required to meet. At the moment governance and accountability are seen as risk areas for the Council by Audit Scotland, so it's perhaps more important than ever that Audit and Scrutiny is carrying out its role effectively.

3 Work carried out

3.1 The following section seeks to show the value added by the Committee, by listing a few of the main areas of activity and the changes that have resulted in performance levels.

• Annual Efficiency Statement – 2009

The Committee considered the Council's Efficiency Statement, which is required by the Scottish Govt each year. This showed that the Council had made almost £700,000 in efficiency savings during 2008-09.

The main value added by the Committee is the fact that it provides a forum for discussing the savings, highlighting good practice and seeking to share this wherever possible.

Housing Voids Investigation - Update Reports

The Committee have had regular updates on this item during the year. Since the Audit and Scrutiny Committee carried out its investigation into Council house voids in 2008, the performance has improved almost threefold from 22% of high demand houses re-let within 4 weeks to 64%.

• Promoting Attendance update

Sickness absence was highlighted at the Committee in April 2008 as an area that the Council needed to target. At that time it was recognised that the overall sickness absence rate had increased from 5.2% in 2005-06 to over 6% by 2008. This was well above the corporate target of 4.5%.

In the current financial climate, getting more employees to turn up to their work more of the time is a key efficiency saving. Therefore, the Committee sought to work with Human Resources to raise awareness of the fact this was important and highlight this as a priority for managers. Update reports were provided in September 2009 and March 2010.

Since the Committee first considered this, there have been notable examples of absence being tackled across Council services (Community Care – down from 11.41% to 8.48%, the whole Executive Services Dpt – down almost 2%, Sport and Leisure – down almost 2%). As shown at the recent service performance reviews, the overall rate of sickness absence in the Council is now 5.6%, which is moving in the right direction, but still above the corporate target.

• Strategic Audit Risk Analysis

With the Council being classed as a "high risk" Council by Audit Scotland, ways in which the Council can demonstrate that it is taking a proactive role in managing and dealing with strategic risks is of vital importance. The Committee received a report in September 2009 setting out the strategic risk analysis carried out by Audit Scotland, together with an action plan as to progress in dealing with these. That Plan is now reported to the Executive on a quarterly basis.

• Statutory Performance Indicators (SPIs)

The SPIs are not everyone's favourite way of monitoring performance. Very often the indicator is not that suitable for a Council this size, with indicators being skewed by small numbers. However, on the other hand, they do allow us comprehensive benchmark data to compare ourselves against other Councils – with Orkney and the Western Isles being key comparator authorities.

The Audit & Scrutiny Committee has played a key role in monitoring these indicators, scrutinising good and bad performance and speaking to officers to understand the reasons behind these. It can be useful to have at least recorded the reasons why an indicator is a poor measure of this Council's performance.

Reports were presented to the Committee in October and November 2009, with a report showing how we compared with the rest of Scotland being at the Committee in January 2010. This showed that the Council was in the top 10 Councils in Scotland on 36 of the 106 indicators; best performing Council on 16 of the indicators; in the bottom 10 Councils in Scotland on 48 of the 106 indicators and poorest performing Council on 7 of the indicators.

Risk Implications in Committee reports

The Risk Management Strategy aims to embed risk management into the way business is carried out across the Council. A way to achieve this is to have report authors considering risk implications when drafting their reports. This was agreed by the Committee and subsequently incorporated into the Committee report template. Feedback suggests that this is a very useful way of getting risk management into officers' thinking when putting together proposals in reports.

• Diesel usage

Update reports were provided to the Committee in November 2009 and June 2010. Since the Committee first looked at this and set a corporate target of reducing diesel ultra usage by 5% each year for 4 years, there has been an overall decrease of 6.06%. (See separate report on this agenda)

• LEAN – Recruitment admin and Building Maintenance

The Committee received 2 reports outlining the outcomes from the pilot LEAN exercises in Building Maintenance and Staffing Recruitment. These projects applied the LEAN methodology to eliminate waste from processes. An important element of the methodology is that it gives the staff at the frontline the responsibility to come up with solutions. Both projects reported very positive outcomes to the Committee and as a result, LEAN is now being incorporated into the Council's efficiencies work as part of seeking to deliver on the £9.9million saving agenda.

• Staffing numbers

The Head of Organisational Development provided a report showing where the main areas of growth in staffing numbers has occurred over the lifetime of this Council. This provided a useful forum to discuss the reasons for the growth and how this might be controlled going forward. Performance management of staffing numbers to ensure that growth is curtailed will be key to achieving savings targets.

• Improving Sector Efficiency and an Overview of Local Govt in Scotland

The Audit & Scrutiny Committee was presented with two reports that had been prepared by Audit Scotland on behalf of the Accounts Commission early in 2010. These reports provided important observations on the local government environment in Scotland, with a focus being on the impact that economic downturn is having and will have on Councils in years to come. Being able to have a discussion with Members on the key messages from national reports like these is important in showing that the Council is aware of and responding to national developments.

5. Financial Implications

5.1 There are no financial implications arising from this report.

6. Policy and Delegated Authority

6.1 As described in Section 10 of the Council's Scheme of Delegations, the remit of the Audit and Scrutiny Committee includes reviewing Council performance information. However, as this report is reviewing the performance of the Audit and Scrutiny Committee, it is being referred to the Council for consideration.

7. Conclusion

7.1 This report has summarised the key areas of Audit and Scrutiny Committee activity between August 2009 and June 2010. It's sought to show the value that has been added by the Committee during the past year.

8. Recommendations

8.1 I recommend that the Committee discuss the information in this report before it is then passed on to the full Council for further consideration by all Members.

June 2010

CE-18-F



Shetland Islands Council

REPORT

To: Audit and Scrutiny Committee

22 June 2010

From: Depute Chief Executive

UPDATE ON AUDIT QUALIFICATION WITH REGARD TO GROUPING ACCOUNTS

1. Introduction

1.1 This Report presents an update on the work being done to address the Audit Qualification regarding the Council grouping its accounts with Shetland Charitable Trust.

2. Links to Corporate Priorities

2.1 There are no explicit links to the Council's Corporate Plan.

3. Risk Assessment

3.1 Addressing this risk and securing a resolution to the satisfaction of all parties is a key risk management action, to address the ongoing concerns as described in the recent Report by the Controller of Audit to the Accounts Commission, under Section 102 (1) of the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973, which states that, "the Council's financial statements had been qualified for the fourth consecutive year ..."

Specifically, the Report by the Controller of Audit states: -

"The accounting treatment of the Shetland Charitable Trust resulted in the qualification of the financial statements in relation to group accounts for the fourth consecutive year in 2008/09. Group financial statements are required to present a complete picture of the council's activities and financial position. The Council's group accounts do not include the Shetland Charitable Trust and its subsidiaries. It is the view of the appointed auditors that due to the nature of the Council's relationship with the trust their omission results in a material misstatement of the group accounts. In a report to council on the matter dated 28 October 2009 the Head of Finance described this situation as 'a total impasse' and noted 'the plain fact is that the council can do no more to make the grouping of accounts happen. The Council had asked once again for Charitable Trust cooperation, and had once

1

again been refused, it is powerless to act further.' At its meeting in October 2009 the council confirmed its view that it had now done all it could do on the matter. At the end of 2009 the trust consulted on options to change its composition, including an option for the trust to be made up of 8 elected members and 7 other trustees. In February 2010 the trustees voted against the proposed changes to the trust composition. The Council is currently looking into this issue and is discussing the evidence to support its case with the appointed auditor. It is likely that there will be a further qualification on the 2009/10 financial statements. The appointed auditor will provide an update in the Report to Members and the Controller of Audit on the 2009/10 Audit."

4. Background

- 4.1 An Extract from the Shetland Islands Council Report to Members and the Controller of Audit on the 2008/09 Audit is included at Appendix 1. This describes Audit Scotland's concerns over the Council's position with regard to grouping accounts.
- 4.2 The Council at its meeting of 28 October considered a report on this subject and approved the recommendations therein. These were that the Council's previous request to the Shetland Charitable Trust for its accounts was simply an attempt to resolve the impasse and did not signify any acceptance of the need to group accounts, that the Council could do no more to resolve the impasse and that it called on the auditor to understand the Council's impossible position and to remove the qualification from its Abstract of Accounts and any threat of other sanctions against the Council.

5. Progress Report

- 5.1 At the last Audit and Scrutiny Committee, this issue was discussed with the Council's Audit Manager, Carol Hislop.
- 5.2 Further to that meeting, the Head of Finance and the Assistant Chief Executive have entered into a dialogue with Audit Scotland, to attempt to clarify Audit Scotland's position. A letter was received by the Council dated 5 May 2010, copy attached in full at Appendix 2, from the Assistant Director (Local Government), Fiona Mitchell-Knight. That letter has raised some further issues, which the Head of Finance and the Assistant Chief Executive are exploring further with Audit Scotland. There remains some need for further clarification of Audit Scotland's thinking, where clarification for both parties would be beneficial in moving things forward, and that is being sought in further correspondence..
- 5.3 Carol Hislop will be in attendance at the Audit and Scrutiny meeting, and may be able to provide Members with further clarity on Audit Scotland's position.

6. Financial Implications

6.1 There are no financial implications associated with this Progress Report, beyond the staff time spent in attempting to resolve this matter.

7. Policy and Delegated Authority

7.1 The Audit and Scrutiny Committee's remit extends to expressing views on Audit Scotland's Report to Members. The final decision on Financial Policy and Governance issues rests with the Council.

8. Recommendations

- 8.1 I recommend that the Audit and Scrutiny Committee:
 - (a) note that several issues remain to be clarified, between the Council and Audit Scotland, in order that it may be possible to determine the best way forward to resolve this issue; and
 - (b) note that these issues are being actively pursued with Audit Scotland by the Head of Finance and the Assistant Chief Executive.

Appendix 1

Extract from Shetland Islands Council Report to Members and the Controller of Audit on the 2008/09 Audit

Audit Opinion

We have given a qualified opinion on the financial statements of Shetland Islands Council. The *Code*

of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom – a Statement of Recommended Practice (the SORP) requires group accounts to be prepared by local authorities where they have interests in entities meeting the definitions of subsidiaries, associates and joint ventures. Group financial statements are required to present a true and fair view of the authority's activity and financial position. The council has not included the results of the SCT in its group financial statements for 2008/09. In our opinion, the substance of the council's relationship with this body represents a significant interest and their omission results in a material misstatement of the group accounts. Based on prior year financial statements, we estimate that this body would contribute:

- a deficit position of approximately £3.03 million to the Group Income and Expenditure Account (resulting from income of £13.17 million and expenditure of £16.20 million)
- net assets of approximately £219.51 million to the Group Balance Sheet (resulting from fixed assets of £23.02 million, investments and loans of £200.16 million, net current assets of £3.34 million and long term liabilities of £0.32 million).

Group accounts audit qualification

- 24. Following the qualification of the audit opinion on the 2007/08 financial statements, the Accounts Commission considered a report by the Controller of Audit under Section 102(1) of the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973. The Commission reported that it expected the council to take all appropriate action to resolve this situation during 2008/09.
- 25. Following the Commission's report, council members, on the 18 February 2009, unanimously approved a recommendation from the chief executive for the results of the SCT to be requested from the trust for inclusion in the council's group accounts. We are pleased to note the council has consolidated the results of the SDT into the 2008/09 financial statements, with the exception of the group cashflow statement. However, on 26 March 2009 the same council members, acting as trustees of SCT, unanimously approved a recommendation not to make the SCT accounts available to the council for consolidation based on the conclusions of legal advice.
- 26. Following the appointment of the new chief executive in June 2009 the current view of senior officers is that the results SCT should not be included in the group's financial statements. We continue to disagree with this view and in our opinion, its omission results in a material mis-statement of the group accounts. We have therefore issued a qualified opinion on the

financial statements for the third consecutive year. (4th year of qualification on the group by us and the previous auditors).

- 27. We have not reviewed the structure of the trust or expressed any concerns about the trust's relationship with the council. Our concerns about SCT relate to the group accounting issue only. Whilst the trust is a separate entity, with its own status to consider, because of the nature of the council's relationship with the SCT we are of the opinion that in order to comply with accounting requirements, the financial results of SCT should be consolidated into the council's group accounts. We acknowledge that in reaching its decision not to provide the accounts for consolidation by the council, the trust's prime interest appears to be in preserving the monies it holds to be used for the benefit of the Shetland community. However as auditors we have a statutory responsibility to report our opinion as to whether or not the financial statements give a true and fair view, in accordance with relevant legal and regulatory requirements and the SORP. We are of the opinion that the following factors support the inclusion of SCT in the council's group accounts.
- 28. **Structure:** SCT has 24 trustees the 22 SIC councillors, the Lord Lieutenant of Shetland and the head teacher of Anderson High School. The previous chief executive of the council was also chief executive of SCT until 2008. In 2008/09 there were some operational and managerial changes within the SCT, including the appointment of a trust general manager to replace the council's chief executive. In a report to the council on 4 July 2007 the chief executive acknowledged that the structure of the trust "provides a coordinated approach such that community benefits can be maximised and that clear accountability for performance is vested principally with the elected members, albeit in an extended and separate but related role".
- 29. **Purpose of SCT:** the purpose of the trust is to make grants or loans for any charitable purpose which benefits the Shetland Islands or its inhabitants. SCT provide services (arts and culture, amenity and environment, recreation and care facilities) which benefit the council community in addition to those services provided by the council. There is evidence that if the trust no longer existed the council would provide these services instead or subsidise the trust in providing the services:
 - on 3 December 2008 a report was agreed by the council which discussed the impact of the world financial crisis on the council and the SCT. The report acknowledged the charitable trust relies heavily on investment income to fund its current service provision. The report stated "if the circumstances in the markets jeopardise the trust's ability to fund the various activities covered at present the council will need to consider whether it will need to provide alternative funding"
 - the charitable trust reduced costs by working with the council and transferring the funding of activities on 1 April 2006 from the Islesburgh Trust back to the council. The total funding that the charitable trust gave to the Islesburgh Trust in 2005/06 was £1.075 million
 - on 1 April 2005 the council took over the activities of the Shetland Welfare Trust, a recipient of grant funding from the Shetland Charitable Trust. The funding that the charitable trust previously provided was

6

£2.850 million. This is a transfer of activity back to the council to reduce the overall cost to the charitable trust.

- 30. **Financial relationships:** The SCT was created by SIC to receive the oil disturbance payments (until the agreement was terminated at 1 Sept 2000) which otherwise would have been received by the council. Total disturbance payments paid to SIC totalled £81 million. The trusts current sources of income are investment income and rental income with no ongoing financial support from/ to the council. However the following inter-related transactions that have taken place between the council and the SCT also support the inclusion of these bodies in the council's group accounts:
 - Shetland Leasing and Property Developments Limited (SLAP), is a wholly owned subsidiary of the charitable trust, purchasing, developing and letting various properties throughout Shetland. SLAP purchased four ferries which it leased to the council. The council approached SLAP to enquire if it would consider selling the ferries directly to them. The board of SLAP considered this proposal and decided to concentrate on its core activities of leasing and property development and therefore agreed to the sale of the four ferries for £20 million to the council on 31 March 2006. This joint decision between the council and SLAP has had the beneficial effect of reducing lease payments on the general fund by £2.25 million per annum (although it had an immediate effect of reducing the available reserves by £20 million)
 - in February 2006, the council purchased all shares in Shetland Towage Ltd, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Shetland Charitable Trust, for a consideration of £3.6 million as part of the council's rationalisation of port activities. The assets received had a value of £5.5 million. The staff of Shetland Towage were subsequently transferred to the council which is now providing towage services at the Sullom Voe Terminal
 - in 1997 the council sold to and leased back from SCT the oil terminal. Rents for the oil terminal paid to the council and then paid onto SCT were £17m at March 08. Annual rental income approx £1.7m.
- 31. **Council involvement in the activities of the trust:** As part of joint working arrangements, council officers can influence how the funds of the SCT are distributed:
 - council officers are included on a working group to review organisations funded by SCT. The council nominees were appointed to develop terms of reference for the group in March 2009
 - on 30 March 2000 trustees approved a report which authorised the Director of Education and Community Services to act on behalf of the SCT and approve applications from community development and community support grants to organisations operating in Shetland.
- 32. **Review of SCT:** on 25 March 2009 a report by the chief executive recommended approval be given to proceed with the engagement of Dundas and Wilson to undertake a legal review, on behalf of the council of its relationship with the SCT. The report highlights examples of the

7

considerable interaction between the council and the trust. It refers to the challenge to the trust by the Office of the Scottish Charities concern that an increased proportion of non-elected council member trustees could reduce considerably the benefits of close working. It further highlights the council has invested a considerable amount of community assets in the trust and has obviously interest in how these are managed. It proposes the council reviews alternative options and the implications of these to manage the funds currently within the remit of the SCT.

- 33. On 3 September 2009 a report asked members to agree to a number of service, management and budget changes as a result of the proposals made by the Shetland Charitable Trust Funded Bodies Review Group. The group has representation from both councillors, trustees, the general manager of the SCT, the council's head of finance, executive director of infrastructure and executive director of education and social care. The work plan of the group was to review all of the activity funded by the SCT. There are significant financial implications to the council arising from the proposals. It is estimated the cost to the council would be in the region of £1.27 million per annum, which equates to approximately 1% of the gross expenditure budget.
- 34. Discussions are ongoing with senior officers regarding the relationship between the council and SCT and its implications for the accounts.

Appendix 2 Letter from Audit Scotland dated 5 May 2010 Address: 7th floor Plaza Tower East Kilbride G74 1LW Telephone: 0845 146 1010 E-mail: Website: www.audit-scotland.gov.u

info@audit-scotland.gov.uk

www.audit-scotland.gov.uk

5 May 2010

AUDIT SCOTLAND

Graham Johnston Head of Finance Shetland Islands Council Town Hall Lerwick SHETLAND ZE1 0HB

Dear Graham

Shetland Islands Audit Qualifications

I was surprised to see it reported that the council was unaware of the factors which influence our audit qualification, in particular in relation to our view that the council receives benefits in the form of services from the trusts operations. You have since sought clarification of our position and answers to some specific queries in an email to Carol Hislop on 24 April. Our response is detailed below.

Group accounts audit qualification 2008/09

On 21 May 2009, I sent a letter to the former Chief Executive, Morgan Goodlad explaining the factors which influenced our decision on the group qualification. This letter was copied to you. It contains an explanation of how our conclusions are reached, based on consideration of the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom – A Statement of Recommended Practice (known as the SORP). I have attached this letter at Appendix 1.

The appendix to my May letter explains that the SORP says that the determining factor for assessing the nature of a reporting authority's relationship with another body is control. Specifically a local authority should include in its group accounts as a subsidiary any bodies over which:

- the authority is able to exercise *control* over the operating and financial policies of the entity; and
- the authority is able to gain *benefits* from the entity or is exposed to the risk of potential losses arising from this control.

In applying these definitions the SORP says the council should have regard to the substance of the relationship being considered over the legal form.

The appendix to my May letter (paragraph 9) refers to one of the factors influencing the qualification being the evidence we have with regard to the council's access to benefits/ exposure to risks from its relationship with the SCT.

Our subsequent review and conclusion of the position was then included in our Report to Members and the Controller of Audit on the 2008/09 Audit. This details the range of factors which support our view on the inclusion of SCT in the council's group accounts. One of these factors reported is that "SCT provide services (arts and culture, amenity and environment, recreation and care facilities) which benefit the council community in addition to those services provided by the council. There is evidence that if the trust no longer existed the council would provide these services instead or subsidise the trust in providing the services:"

Providing services to the Auditor General for Scotland and the Accounts Commission

Page 2 Graham Johnston Shetland Islands Council

The correspondence referred to reflects our conclusions in relation to the 2008/09 accounts. We therefore feel it is now useful to layout our current position on both of the audit qualifications in advance of the 2009/10 financial statements audit. We have also answered your specific queries raised in your email.

Group accounts audit 2009/10

The accounting treatment of the Shetland Charitable Trust resulted in the qualification of the financial statements in relation to group accounts for the fourth consecutive year in 2008/09. In a report to council on the matter dated 28 October 2009 you described this situation as 'a total impasse' and noted 'the plain fact is that the council can do no more to make the grouping of accounts happen. The council had asked once again for Charitable Trust cooperation, and had once again been refused; it is powerless to act further.' The council also clarified that it asked the Charitable Trust for cooperation in February 2009, but that the trust had chosen not to supply the information requested. At its meeting in October 2009 the council confirmed its view that it had now done all it could do on the matter.

At the end of 2009 the trust consulted on options to change its composition, including an option for the trust to be made up of 8 elected members and 7 other trustees. In February 2010 the trustees voted against the proposed changes to the trust composition.

The assistant chief executive is currently looking into this issue and is discussing the council's evidence to support its case with us. It is likely that there will be a further qualification on the 2009/10 financial statements. We will provide an update in the Report to Members and the Controller of Audit on the 2009/10 Audit.

Our current position therefore remains as was reported in 2008/09.

Email queries

In response to your queries raised in your email of 28th April, we provide the following clarification.

"1.SCT Structure: I understand from what you said at the Committee meeting and in discussion with the Chair and Vice Chair afterwards that SCT structure is no part of your qualification to the accounts, and that it wouldn't matter if there were no Councillor Trustees. And yet in paragraph 27 of the attached that structure (paragraph 28) is one of the key factors supporting the inclusion of SCT in the Council's group accounts. This appears to me to be a contradiction, and I hope you can clear it up."

The audit qualification would be reviewed if the structure of the trust were changed. The impact on the qualification would depend on the remaining level of control that the council has the right to exercise over the trust. This is dependent on the proportion of trustees that are council members. The level of control that remains with the council would influence the accounting requirements prescribed by the SORP for the council's relationship with SCT.

However the structure of the trust is not the only factor relevant to our decision, other key factors that we consider are:

- benefits that the council gains from the services provided by SCT. SCT provides services
 (arts and culture, amenity and environment, recreation and care facilities) which benefit the
 council community in addition to those services provided by the council. Our evidence shows
 that if the trust no longer existed or was suffering financial difficulties the council would provide
 some services instead or subsidise the trust in providing these services
- any benefits obtained by the council through funding arrangements provided by the SCT
- any council officer involvement in the activities of the trust.

5 May 2010

Page 3 Graham Johnston Shetland Islands Council

We would consider all these factors and any other emerging facts to form a view as to whether or not the current evidence supports SCT as inclusion in the council's group accounts as prescribed by the SORP. Options to be considered would be whether the SCT should be accounted for as a subsidiary, an associate or not included in the group accounts.

"2. Most of the content of paragraphs 27-34 of the attached points to the close working relationship between the SIC and the SCT. This closeness is not in dispute, although both organisations would naturally argue that this is a direct consequence of their separate but common interests in serving the Shetland community. What are the factors in that close working relationship which distinguish it from other close working relationships in the common interests of Shetland, and therefore (due to specific provisions of the SORP) warrant the grouping of accounts?"

The key factor is that the majority of SCT trustees are council members, in our opinion the council therefore has the right to exercise control over the trust. As explained above, control is one of the two key factors in identifying a subsidiary for group accounts. The other key factor being benefits obtained by the council from its relationship with the trust.

"3. I understand from the Chair of the Committee that you indicated to her that some simple inclusion in the SIC accounts of a statement declaring SCT subsidies to the SIC would fulfil the requirements of group accounting. Can you please clarify what is meant by SCT subsidies, and what form of statement would be satisfactory?"

There appears to be a misunderstanding here as we are not aware of any "statement declaring SCT subsidies to the SIC." The required format of the group accounts is detailed in the SORP.

To clarify our position on the group accounts issue we would like to suggest that Carol Hislop meets the Chair of the Audit & Scrutiny Committee or attends a committee meeting to provide any necessary clarification on all aspects of the group qualification.

Financial instruments audit qualification

The 2008/09 accounts were also qualified due to the council's failure to account for its financial assets in accordance with accounting requirements. You gave assurances to us and to meetings of the council in December 2009 and March 2010 that this issue would be resolved for the 2009/10 accounts. We have confirmed that whilst you are currently reviewing this with the intention of resolving the issue for inclusion in the 2009/10 accounts, progress has been slow. I understand however that you have recently engaged additional help with this issue. Could you please confirm that this work will be completed in time for the figures to be reviewed as part of the audit of the financial statements, starting 1 July 2010. We will report an update on this issue as part of the audit of the financial statements audit and in the Report to Members and the Controller of Audit on the 2009/10 Audit.

I hope this letter clarifies our current view on the accounts qualifications and enables you to clarify the position on this issue to officers and elected members. Due to the importance of these issues I have copied my response to Hazel Sutherland and Willie Shannon also.

All and Generoment/Generol/Gene

5 May 2010

Page 4 Graham Johnston Shetland Islands Council

If you would like myself or Carol Hislop to answer any further queries or if you would like us to present our position to officers or elected members please let us know.

Yours sincerely

Altheut-Knyllt Fiona

Fiona Mitchell-Knight Assistant Director of Audit (Local Government) Direct dial: 0131 625 1937

cc Hazel Sutherland, Acting Chief Executive, Willie Shannon, Assistant Chief Executive

Gricoral Government Clents Clents Councils Strettand Islands Council 2009-10/Duputs Pinancial statements 110-05-05_brido-council_opaccounts_SIC.doc

Fiona Mitchell-Knight FCA Assistant Director of Audit (Local Government) Audit Scotland 7th floor Plaza Tower East Kilbride G74 1LW

Website: www.audit-scotland.gov.uk

Appendix 1

21 May 2009

Morgan Goodlad Chief Executive Shetland Islands Council Town Hall Lerwick SHETLAND ZE1 0HB

Dear Morgan

Shetland Islands Council Group Accounts 2008/09

As part of our annual audit for 2008/09 we have reviewed the council's proposed treatment of Shetland Development Trust and Shetland Charitable Trust in the Shetland Islands Council group accounts. I feel that it is appropriate that we share our conclusions on this issue with you at this stage so that the council can consider its response in advance of the financial statements being presented for audit.

Background

- 1. In 2005/06, PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC) issued an adverse opinion on the council's financial statements due to the council's failure to include group accounts. The council has been preparing group accounts since 2006/07 but has not included the results of Shetland Charitable Trust (SCT) and its subsidiaries nor those of the Shetland Development Trust (SDT). On this basis the council's audit opinion has been qualified by us since 2006/07. In our opinion, the substance of the council's relationship with these trusts and its related subsidiaries represents a significant interest and their omission results in a material misstatement of the group accounts. We have reviewed the status of these relationships on an annual basis.
- 2. Following the qualification of the accounts in 2007/08 the Accounts Commission considered a report by the Controller of Audit under Section 102(1) of the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973 on the 2007/08 Annual Audit of Shetland Islands Council. The Commission noted with considerable concern the continuing qualification and strongly urged the Council to take appropriate action to resolve this situation during the current year.

Shetland Development Trust (SDT)

 Following some structural changes to SDT, we are pleased to note that the council has agreed to consolidate the groups of SDT into the 2008/09 accounts. The results of this consolidation will be reviewed by us as part of the audit of the 2008/09 financial statements.

Cleris Cleris Council Shefard Islands Council 2009-10/D/pub/Financial statement/10-08-05_tr-to-council_gpace

Shetland Charitable Trust (SCT)

- 4. In February 2009 you accepted our view regarding the relationship of SCT with the council, and recommended to the council members that the results of SCT be consolidated into the council's group accounts. At a council meeting on 18 February 2009 the council accepted this recommendation.
- On 26 March 2009 the same council members, acting as trustees of SCT, unanimously approved a recommendation not to make the SCT accounts available to the council for consolidation based on the conclusions of legal advice.
- 6. We acknowledge that in reaching its decision not to provide the accounts for consolidation by the council, the trust's prime interest appears to be in preserving the monies it holds to be used for the benefit of the Shetland community. However as auditors of Shetland Islands Council we have a statutory responsibility to report our opinion as to whether or not the financial statements give a true and fair view, in accordance with relevant legal and regulatory requirements and the SORP.
- 7. Whilst the trust is a separate entity, with its own status to consider, because of the nature of the council's relationship with the SCT we are of the opinion that in order to comply with these accounting requirements, the financial results of SCT and its subsidiaries should be consolidated into the council's group accounts. This remains our view. If the results of SCT and its subsidiaries are not consolidated into the council's 2008/09 group accounts, in our opinion there will be a material mis-statement of the group accounts which will attract another gualified audit opinion. The wording of the audit opinion is still to be determined.
- A more detailed explanation of the factors which led to this conclusion are noted in *appendix* In forming this opinion, we have not reviewed the structure of the trust or expressed any concerns about the trust's relationship with the council. Our concerns about SCT relate to the group accounting issue only.

Conclusion

9. In order to avoid a qualified audit opinion on the 2008/09 financial statements, the council will need to include the results of both SDT and SCT in its group accounts. The responsibility for resolving this issue lies with the council and the trust. In the meantime, we are arranging to talk to OSCR to discuss some of the trust's concerns about consolidation of its results into the council's group.

If you or your successor, David Clark, would like to discuss this matter further please do not hesitate to contact myself or Mark Ferris.

I would also like to take this opportunity to wish you well in the future.

Yours sincerely

Jisnu Altheut-Honglet

Fiona Mitchell-Knight Assistant Director of Audit (Local Government)

Enc cc Graham Johnston, Head of Finance

Appendix 1

Shetland Islands Council Group Accounts 2008-09

Audit requirements

 We have a statutory responsibility to report our opinion as to whether or not the financial statements of Shetland Islands Council give a true and fair view, in accordance with relevant legal and regulatory requirements and the 2008 Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom – A Statement of Recommended Practice (known as the SORP).

Accounting requirements

- 1. The SORP notes that "for a variety of legal, regulatory and other reasons, undertakings, including local authorities, often choose or are required to conduct their activities not through a single legal entity but through several undertakings under the ultimate control of the parent undertaking of that group, ie the reporting authority. For this reason the financial statements of the reporting authority by itself do not present a full picture of its economic activities or financial position. Group financial statements are therefore required in order to reflect the extended service delivery and economic unit that conducts activities under the control of the parent reporting authority."
- 2. The SORP says that the determining factor for assessing the nature of a reporting authority's relationship with another body is control. Specifically a local authority should include in its group accounts as a subsidiary any bodies over which :
 - the authority is able to exercise control over the operating and financial policies of the entity' and
 - the authority is able to gain benefits from the entity or is exposed to the risk of
 potential losses arising from this control.

In applying these definitions a reporting authority should have regard to the substance of the relationship being considered over the legal form.

3. Applying the guidance in the SORP, we are of the opinion that the following are all examples of factors which support the inclusion of the SCT and its subsidiaries in the councils group.

Factors which indicate the council's control over the policies of the trust

Structure

- 4. The trust has 24 trustees the 22 SIC councillors, the Lord Lieutenant of Shetland and the Head Teacher of Anderson High School. The Chief Executive of the council was Chief Executive of SCT until 2008. In 2008/09 there were some operational and managerial changes within the SCT, including the appointment of a trust general manager to replace the council's chief executive.
- 5. Audit Scotland auditors have consistently taken the view, that whilst councillors acting as trustees are acknowledged to have different roles with regard to their legal status and duties, the fact that they would not be trustees if they were not councillors, indicates control over the trust for accounting purposes. This reflects the fact that the SORP requires the substance of the relationship to be considered over the legal form.

6. This view is consistent with that expressed in a report to the council on 4 July 2007 where the Chief Executive acknowledges that the structure of the trust "provides a coordinated approach such that community benefits can be maximised and that clear accountability for performance is vested principally with the elected members, albeit in an extended and separate but related role."

Council involvement in the activities of the trust

- Council officers are included on a working group to review organisations funded by SCT. The council nominees were appointed to develop terms of reference for the group in March 2009. This is evidence that the council officers can influence the strategic priorities of the SCT.
- 8. On 30 March 2009 trustees approved a report which authorised the Director of Education and Community Services to act on behalf of the SCT and approve applications from community development and community support grants to organisations operating in Shetland. Examples of where this authorisation has been used include:
 - the Council's Head of Service Community Development approved a range of grants, totalling approximately £20,000, for approval by the trustees on 26 March 2009
 - the Council's Head of Service Community Care approved a range of grants, totalling approximately £2,000, for approval by the trustees on 26 March 2009.

Whilst the total amounts of grant approved in these particular instances were not of significant value it is evidence of the council's influence in directing the use of trust funds.

Conclusion: The fact that the majority of trustees are council members and the involvement of council officers in strategic and operational activities in the trust indicate that the council can exercise control over the trust's activities.

Evidence of access to benefits / exposure to risks

Purpose of the trust

- 9. The purpose of the trust is to make grants or loans for any charitable purpose which benefits the Shetland Islands or its inhabitants. There is evidence that if the trust no longer existed the council would provide these services instead or subsidise the trust in providing the services. This is evidence of the benefits the council is receiving from the trust's operations and evidence of the exposure the council has if the trust cannot continue to operate its level of service:
 - on 3 December 2008 a report was agreed by the council which discussed the impact of the world financial crisis on the council and the SCT. The report acknowledges that the charitable trust relies heavily on investment income to fund its current service provision. The report says that "if the circumstances in the markets jeopardise the Trust's ability to fund the various activities covered at present the council will need to consider whether it will need to provide alternative funding"
 - the charitable trust reduced costs by working with the council and transferring the funding of activities on 1 April 2006 from the Islesburgh Trust back to the council. The total funding that the charitable trust gave to the Islesburgh Trust in 2005/06 was £1.075 million
 - on 1 April 2005 the council took over the activities of the Shetland Welfare Trust, a recipient of grant funding from the Shetland Charitable Trust. The funding that the charitable trust previously provided was £2.850 million. This is a transfer of activity back to the council to reduce the overall cost to the charitable trust.

Financial relationships

- 10. The SCT was created by SIC to receive the oil disturbance payments (until the agreement was terminated at 1 Sept 2000) which otherwise would have been received by the council. Total disturbance payments paid to SIC totalled £81m.
- 11. The trusts current sources of income are; investment income and rental income there is no ongoing financial support from/ to the council. However the following interrelated transactions that have taken place between the council and the SCT also support the inclusion of these bodies in the council's group accounts:
 - Shetland Leasing and Property Developments Limited (SLAP), is a wholly owned subsidiary of the charitable trust, purchasing, developing and letting various properties throughout Shetland. SLAP purchased four ferries which it leased to the council. The council approached SLAP to enquire if it would consider selling the ferries directly to them. The board of SLAP considered this proposal and decided to concentrate on its core activities of leasing and property development and therefore agreed to the sale of the four ferries for £20 million to the council on 31 March 2006. This joint decision between the council and SLAP has had the beneficial effect of reducing lease payments on the general fund by £2.25 million per annum (although it had an immediate effect of reducing the available reserves by £20 million)

- in February 2006, the council purchased all shares in Shetland Towage Ltd, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Shetland Charitable Trust, for a consideration of £3.6 million as part of the council's rationalisation of port activities. The assets received had a value of £5.5 million. The staff of Shetland Towage were subsequently transferred to the council which is now providing towage services at the Sullom Voe Terminal
- in 1997 the council sold to and leased back from SCT the oil terminal. Rents for the oil terminal paid to the council and then paid onto SCT £17m at March 08. Annual rental income approx £1.7m
- SCT has been approached by the SIC to invest in Anderson High School via SLAP in a sale & lease back arrangement. This is currently under consideration by both parties.

Conclusion: There is evidence that if the trust could no longer provided funding to the community, the council would instead subsidise this funding. This means that the council is currently benefitting from the services provided by the trust. The council also benefits from access to funding arrangements provide by the SCT. Both these areas provide evidence of the benefits the council is receiving from the trust's operations and evidence of the exposure the council has if the trust cannot continue to operate its level of service.

Overall conclusion

12. In our opinion the factors described above demonstrate that the council is able to exercise control over the operating and financial policies of the trust. Due to this control the council is able to gain benefits from the trust and is exposed to the risk of funding the trust's activities. Therefore to comply with the SORP the results of SCT and its subsidiaries should be consolidated into the SIC group. Due to the value of transactions going though the SCT group accounts the omission of these results is considered material to the group accounts of the council. As a result their omission in 2008/09 would require us to report a qualified opinion on the 2008/09 financial statements for Shetland Islands Council.