Location:	Site Ref:
Suggested Future Use:	

Basic factual site information is printed on the reverse of the site location plan

Desktop Assessment - Development Plans Team from GIS and aerial photos etc.

Site Features and Character Assessment Statements	True	False	n/a	Comments and/or Suggested Conditions to be applied to the allocation if progressed
Site location is suitable in principle for multiple detached houses *1				
2. Site is not within 250m of known Contaminated land *2				
3. No part of site falls below the 5 meter contour *3				
4. No part of site has large water course (1/50000) within site boundary				
5. Development of the site would not harm local or strategic open space provision				
6. Site does not contain any routes listed in the core paths plan				
7. There is no previous planning history that would harm the deliverability of the allocation				
8. Site is not in a conservation area				
9. Site is not within 250m(?) of a Listed Building				

^{*1.} Site is within an Area of Best Fit, or not further than 1.6km from facilities and/ or 400m from a public bus route. (This information is on the PIE sheet)

^{*2.} The General Development Procedure Order Scotland (1992) 15.1 (I)

^{*3.} Some Employment sites may fall below the 5m contour and remain acceptable in policy

Suggested Future Use:			
Location:		Site Ref:	
11. Site is not within 250m(?) of a Scheduled Monument			
10. Site is not within 250m(?) of an inventory landscape			

Desktop Assessment by other SIC and External Stakeholders (– Stakeholder lead)

Site Features and Character	True	False	n/a	Comments and/or Suggested Conditions
Assessment				
Site is not at significant risk of				
either tidal or pluvial flooding				
– Jonathan Duncan				
Mains drainage possible				
– Scottish Water, SEPA				
Safe access available				
– SIC Roads				
6.6				
Safe access possible				
– SIC Roads				
Site development will not				
harm known archaeology				
– Val Turner				
If site in SSSI, development				
would not adversely affect				
the reason for designation				
– Kelda Hudson				

A standard paragraph will be added to the final output letter which will be triggered by site being close to or within an area of heritage interest or archaeology.

Location:	Site Ref:
Suggested Future Use:	

Site Assessment by Development Plans Team

Site Features and Character	True	False	n/a	Comments and/or Suggested Conditions
Assessment				
Site fits well into the				
established settlement				
pattern and landscape				
setting				
Part of the site fits the				
established settlement				
pattern				
Site is not affected by bad				
neighbouring uses				
Site development would				
not constitute a bad				
neighbouring use				
Development on site is				
unhindered by ground				
conditions (engineering				
works to slope, need to				
drain land etc – if slope				
then see GIS)				
Site is ready to be				
allocated in the plan				
anocated in the plan				
(A detailed delivery proposal				
and layout has been provided)				
, , ,				
Site has development				
potential				
(No major Planning or Physical				
constraints to development)				
Not Currently Developable				
(Cita has made a Discoving				
(Site has major Planning or				
Physical constraints to				
development)				

General comments recorded on site				
Photos Yes/No				
·				
Date of visit:	by Planning Officers:			
Additional comments summarising the reasons behind the final outcome may be				
made in an associated word document				