Part 1 Appraisal Summary Tables

Proposal Details						
Name and address of authority proposal:	or organisation promoting the	Mr Michael Craigie, 01595 744 160, michael.craigie@shetland.gov.uk				
(Also provide name of any sub promoting the proposal)	sidiary organisations also involved in	Shetland Islands Council, Development Service, Transport Planning Service, 6 North Ness, Lerwick, Shetland, ZE1 0LZ				
Proposal Name:	Option CO4: Supplement options C1 (like-for-like vessel) or C2 (larger vessel) with a passenger only vessel.	Name of Planner	Stephen Canning, Peter Brett Associates			
Proposal Description:	This option includes the provision	Estimated Total Public Sector Funding Requirement:	Capital costs/grant			
	of a passenger only vessel to supplement any of the single ro-ro		£500k-£1m.			
	options (C1 or C2). It is assumed		Current revenue support			
	that this vessel would carry around 50-100 passengers and would		£804k (rounded) per annum.			
	travel at 8 knots. The timetable of this vessel would require further		Annual revenue support			
	analysis but it is possible it would be tied up or used for charters outwith peak hours.		Likely to require a marginal increase in revenue funding.			
Funding Cought From			Present Value of Cost to Govt.			
Funding Sought From: (if applicable)	Transport Scotland	Amount of Application:	Costs in this study are all reported in 2016 prices only. The costs would reflect those set out above.			
Background Information						
Geographic Context:	The island of Bressay is 7 miles long by 3 miles wide and is separated from Lerwick by the sheltered Bressay Sound. Rough grazing covers most of the island, and the coast is formed of steep cliffs and beaches. In many respects, Bressay benefits significantly from its proximity to Lerwick and the access to services that this provides. However, geographic proximity and good transport links can be something of a double edged sword for the					
	island, with a constant challenge to prevent economic leakage and maintain local services. Transport connectivity is wholly delivered by the frequent ferry service, which links Bressay with Lerwick, the journey time being seven minutes. The MV <i>Leirna</i> currently lies overnight at Bressay.					

F	Despite strong population growth towards the end of the 20th century, Bressay has experienced a recent decline in population, something which the recent closure of the school may accelerate. During our consultation with HIE, they expressed a concern that the island is becoming increasingly fragile.				
Social Context:	The lack of readily available social housing on Bressay and the relatively static property market suggests that housing could be a constraint to growth on the island and could have been a factor in the decline of its population.				
	Bressay is the only one of the nine islands in this study where on-island nursery and primary school provision is not available. Whilst the rationale underpinning the closure of the school was perhaps sound, there is a potentially longer-term impact in terms of the attractiveness of the island for in-migrants.				
ı	Medical provision for Bressay is provided by Lerwick Health Centre.				
r	There is limited on-island activity on Bressay, with the majority of residents being geared towards the Lerwick jobs market. The fishmeal factory is likely to be the largest single private sector employer. There has been an overall downturn in services in the island in recent years.				
	Bressay has a very high economic activity rate (akin to that of Shetland as a whole), although the majority of full-time employees are likely to commute to Lerwick.				
Planning Objectives					
Objective:	Performance against planning objective:				
	should Performance against Transport Planning Objective: Neutral				
	ar and freightPassenger capacity has not been evidenced as a problem on this route. A passenger only vessel would not hetland therefore contribute to this objective.				
	nas a Performance against Transport Planning Objective: Minor Positive				
employment centre (e.g. 80 minute	a mainA passenger only vessel would provide additional connections at peak times which could support commuters. es), the Any impact is however likely to be relatively minor. reliably				
TPO2b: Where an island does not	have a Performance against Transport Planning Objective: Neutral				
'commutable' combined ferry or air /	∕ <i>drive /</i> a <i>main</i> This objective is not relevant for Bressay.				

at least a half day (e.g., 4 hours) in Lenvick 7 days a week, all year round. TPO3: The scheduled time between Performance against Transport Planning Objective: Minor Positive connections should be minimised to increase flexibility for passengers and This option would increase the number of connections, with flexibility to offer a 100% increase in connections. Treight by maximising the number of island-However, these sailings would be passenger only. TPO4: The level of connectivity provided Performance against Transport Planning Objective: Neutral should minimise the variation within and between weekdays, evenings, Saturdays This Lerwick – Bressay route operates a standard and relatively consistent timetable. Any existing differentials could be addressed by a one vessel solution, so the impact of a two-vessel solution in this context is neutral. PEPO5: Where practicable, islanders should be addressed by a one vessel solution, so the impact of a two-vessel solution in this context is neutral. PEPO5: Where practicable, islanders should Performance against Transport Planning Objective: Neutral be provided with links to strategic onward transport Connections without the need for This option would have no impact on strategic connectivity unless the passenger vessel started sufficient early in the morning, with a corresponding public transport connection to Sumburgh in Lerwick. This option is retained for further consideration. This option would operate directly into the town centre, this option is worthy of further consideration. This option would operate directly into the town centre, this option is worthy of further consideration. Community feedback is required on whether this option would attract public support. Public: Additional crew would be required to operate the passenger vessel. Financial: The capital cost would be £0.5-£1 million, with a marginal increase in annual revenue support. Assessment Summary Supporting Information Supplementing the replacement of the MV Leirna with a passenger only ve	employment centre (e.g. 80 minute	es) the			
at least a half day (e.g., 4 hours) in Lerwick 7 days a week, all year round. TPO3: The scheduled time between Performance against Transport Planning Objective: Minor Positive Connections should be minimised to Increase the number of connections, with flexibility to offer a 100% increase in connections. Increase the number of island However, these sailings would be passenger only. Connections across the operating day. TPO4: The level of connectivity provided Performance against Transport Planning Objective: Neutral Should minimise the variation within and between weekdays, evenings, Saturdays. This Lerwick – Bressay route operates a standard and relatively consistent timetable. Any existing differentials could be addressed by a one vessel solution, so the impact of a two-vessel solution in this context is neutral. Proceedings of the provided with links to strategic onward transport connections without the need for the overling to stay on Shetland mainland. Rationale for Selection or Rejection of Proposal: Technical: Minor harbour works would be required at Bressay and Lerwick. Given that the service would operate directly into the town centre, this option is would attract public support. Minor harbour works would be required at Bressay to facilitate a foot passenger service. Departional: Additional crew would be required to operate the passenger vessel. The capital cost would be £0.5-£1 million, with a marginal increase in annual revenue support was found. STAG Criteria Criterion Assessment Supporting Information Supplementing the replacement of the MV Leima with a passenger only vessel would give rise to a					
days a week, all year round. TPO3: The scheduled time between Performance against Transport Planning Objective: Minor Positive connections should be minimised to increase the number of scheduled time between Performance against Transport Planning Objective: Minor Positive connections should be minimised to variation within and between weekdays, evenings, Saturdays and Saturday					
TPO3: The scheduled time between performance against Transport Planning Objective: Minor Positive connections should be minimised to increase flexibility for passengers and This option would increase the number of connections, with flexibility to offer a 100% increase in connections, reight by maximising the number of island-however, these sailings would be passenger only. TPO4: The level of connectivity provided Performance against Transport Planning Objective: Neutral should minimise the variation within and between weekdays, evenings, Saturdays/This Lerwick – Bressay route operates a standard and relatively consistent timetable. Any existing differentials could be addressed by a one vessel solution, so the impact of a two-vessel solution in this context is neutral. TPO5: Where practicable, islanders should Performance against Transport Planning Objective: Neutral be provided with links to strategic onward transport connections without the need for This option would have no impact on strategic connection to Sumburgh in Lerwick. Rationale for Selection or Rejection of Proposal: This option is retained for further consideration. This option would provide a low cost means to substantially increase connections between Bressay and Lerwick. Given that the service would operate directly into the town centre, this option is worthy of further consideration. Community feedback is required on whether this option would attract public support. Technical: Minor harbour works would be required at Bressay to facilitate a foot passenger service. Operational: The capital cost would be £0.5-£1 million, with a marginal increase in annual revenue support. A few comments were on this option at the public engagement but no widespread support was found. STAG Criteria Criterion Assessment Supporting Information Supplementing the replacement of the MV Leirna with a passenger only vessel would give rise to a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
connections should be minimised to increase llexibility for passengers and This option would increase the number of connections, with flexibility to offer a 100% increase in connections. Treight by maximising the number of island-however, these sailings would be passenger only. connections across the operating day. TPO4: The level of connectivity provided Performance against Transport Planning Objective: Neutral should minimise the variation within and between weekdays, evenings, Saturdays This Lerwick – Bressay route operates a standard and relatively consistent timetable. Any existing differentials could be addressed by a one vessel solution, so the impact of a two-vessel solution in this context is neutral. Performance against Transport Planning Objective: Neutral source provided with links to strategic onward from sovernided visibility to some differentials could be addressed by a one vessel solution, so the impact of a two-vessel solution in this context is neutral. Performance against Transport Planning Objective: Neutral source provided with links to strategic onward from some connections without the need for This option would have no impact on strategic connectivity unless the passenger vessel started sufficient early in the morning, with a corresponding public transport connection to Sumburgh in Lerwick. This option is retained for further consideration. This option would provide a low cost means to substantially increase connections between Bressay and Lerwick. Given that the service would operate directly into the town centre, this option is worthy of further consideration. Community feedback is required on whether this option would attract public support. Implementability Appraisal Technical: Minor harbour works would be required to operate the passenger vessel. The capital cost would be £0.5-£1 million, with a marginal increase in annual revenue support. A few comments were on this option at the public engagement but no widespread support was found. STAG Criteria Criterion Assessment S		etween F	Performan	ce against Transport Planning Objective: Minor Positive	
connections across the operating day. TPO4: The level of connectivity provided Performance against Transport Planning Objective: Neutral should minimise the variation within and between weekdays, evenings, Saturdays This Lerwick – Bressay route operates a standard and relatively consistent timetable. Any existing differentials could be addressed by a one vessel solution, so the impact of a two-vessel solution in this context is neutral. TPO5: Where practicable, islanders should Performance against Transport Planning Objective: Neutral be provided with links to strategic onward transport connections without the need for This option would have no impact on strategic connectivity unless the passenger vessel started sufficient early in the morning, with a corresponding public transport connection to Sumburgh in Lerwick. This option is retained for further consideration. This option would provide a low cost means to substantially increase connections between Bressay and Lerwick. Given that the service would operate directly into the town centre, this option is worthy of further consideration. Community feedback is required on whether this option would attract public support. Implementability Appraisal Technical: Minor harbour works would be required at Bressay to facilitate a foot passenger service. Minor harbour works would be £0.5-£1 million, with a marginal increase in annual revenue support. Public: A few comments were on this option at the public engagement but no widespread support was found. STAG Criteria Criterion Assessment Supporting Information Supplementing the replacement of the MV Leirna with a passenger only vessel would give rise to a					
connections across the operating day. TPO4: The level of connectivity provided Performance against Transport Planning Objective: Neutral should minimise the variation within and between weekdays, evenings, Saturdays This Lerwick – Bressay route operates a standard and relatively consistent timetable. Any existing differentials could be addressed by a one vessel solution, so the impact of a two-vessel solution in this context is neutral. TPO5: Where practicable, islanders should Performance against Transport Planning Objective: Neutral be provided with links to strategic onward transport connections without the need for This option would have no impact on strategic connectivity unless the passenger vessel started sufficient early in the morning, with a corresponding public transport connection to Sumburgh in Lerwick. This option is retained for further consideration. This option would provide a low cost means to substantially increase connections between Bressay and Lerwick. Given that the service would operate directly into the town centre, this option is worthy of further consideration. Community feedback is required on whether this option would attract public support. Implementability Appraisal Technical: Minor harbour works would be required at Bressay to facilitate a foot passenger service. Minor harbour works would be £0.5-£1 million, with a marginal increase in annual revenue support. Public: A few comments were on this option at the public engagement but no widespread support was found. STAG Criteria Criterion Assessment Supporting Information Supplementing the replacement of the MV Leirna with a passenger only vessel would give rise to a			This option	would increase the number of connections, with flexibility to offer a 100% increase in connections.	
TPO4: The level of connectivity provided should minimise the variation within and between weekdays, evenings, Saturdays This Lerwick – Bressay route operates a standard and relatively consistent timetable. Any existing differentials could be addressed by a one vessel solution, so the impact of a two-vessel solution in this context is neutral. TPO5: Where practicable, islanders should Performance against Transport Planning Objective: Neutral be provided with links to strategic onward transport connections without the need for this option would have no impact on strategic connectivity unless the passenger vessel started sufficient early in the morning, with a corresponding public transport connection to Sumburgh in Lerwick. This option is retained for further consideration. This option would provide a low cost means to substantially increase connections between Bressay and Lerwick. Given that the service would operate directly into the town centre, this option is worthy of further consideration. Community feedback is required on whether this option would attract public support. Implementability Appraisal Technical: Minor harbour works would be required at Bressay to facilitate a foot passenger service. Operational: Additional crew would be required to operate the passenger vessel. Financial: The capital cost would be £0.5-£1 million, with a marginal increase in annual revenue support. Public: A few comments were on this option at the public engagement but no widespread support was found. STAG Criteria Criterion Assessment Supporting Information Supporting Information					
petween weekdays, evenings, Saturdays This Lerwick – Bressay route operates a standard and relatively consistent timetable. Any existing differentials could be addressed by a one vessel solution, so the impact of a two-vessel solution in this context is neutral. Performance against Transport Planning Objective: Neutral be provided with links to strategic onward transport connections without the need for the morning, with a corresponding public transport connection to Sumburgh in Lerwick. Rationale for Selection or Rejection of Proposal: This option is retained for further consideration. This option would provide a low cost means to substantially increase connections between Bressay and Lerwick. Given that the service would operate directly into the town centre, this option is worthy of further consideration. Community feedback is required on whether this option would attract public support. Implementability Appraisal Minor harbour works would be required at Bressay to facilitate a foot passenger service. Operational: Additional crew would be required to operate the passenger vessel. Financial: The capital cost would be £0.5-£1 million, with a marginal increase in annual revenue support. Public: A few comments were on this option at the public engagement but no widespread support was found. STAG Criteria Criterion Assessment Summary Supporting Information Supplementing the replacement of the MV Leirna with a passenger only vessel would give rise to a	connections across the operating day	y.			
This Lerwick – Bressay route operates a standard and relatively consistent timetable. Any existing differentials could be addressed by a one vessel solution, so the impact of a two-vessel solution in this context is neutral. TPO5: Where practicable, islanders should performance against Transport Planning Objective: Neutral be provided with links to strategic onward transport connections without the need for an overnight stay on Shetland mainland. Rationale for Selection or Rejection of Proposal: This option is retained for further consideration. This option would provide a low cost means to substantially increase connections between Bressay and Lerwick. Given that the service would operate directly into the town centre, this option is worthy of further consideration. Community feedback is required on whether this option would attract public support. Implementability Appraisal Technical: Minor harbour works would be required at Bressay to facilitate a foot passenger service. Minor harbour works would be required to operate the passenger vessel. Financial: The capital cost would be £0.5-£1 million, with a marginal increase in annual revenue support. A few comments were on this option at the public engagement but no widespread support was found. STAG Criteria Criterion Assessment Summary Supporting Information Supplementing the replacement of the MV Leirna with a passenger only vessel would give rise to a	TPO4: The level of connectivity p	provided F	Performan	ce against Transport Planning Objective: Neutral	
could be addressed by a one vessel solution, so the impact of a two-vessel solution in this context is neutral. TPO5: Where practicable, islanders should Performance against Transport Planning Objective: Neutral be provided with links to strategic onward transport connections without the need for the morning of the morning, with a corresponding public transport connection to Sumburgh in Lerwick. This option would have no impact on strategic connectivity unless the passenger vessel started sufficient early in the morning, with a corresponding public transport connection to Sumburgh in Lerwick. This option is retained for further consideration. This option would provide a low cost means to substantially increase connections between Bressay and Lerwick. Given that the service would operate directly into the town centre, this option is worthy of further consideration. Community feedback is required on whether this option would attract public support. Implementability Appraisal Technical: Minor harbour works would be required at Bressay to facilitate a foot passenger service. Operational: Additional crew would be required to operate the passenger vessel. Financial: The capital cost would be £0.5-£1 million, with a marginal increase in annual revenue support. Public: A few comments were on this option at the public engagement but no widespread support was found. STAG Criteria Criterion Assessment Summary Supporting Information Supplementing the replacement of the MV Leirna with a passenger only vessel would give rise to a					
Performance against Transport Planning Objective: Neutral be provided with links to strategic onward transport connections without the need for transport connections without the need for this option would have no impact on strategic connectivity unless the passenger vessel started sufficient early in the morning, with a corresponding public transport connection to Sumburgh in Lerwick. This option is retained for further consideration. This option would provide a low cost means to substantially increase connections between Bressay and Lerwick. Given that the service would operate directly into the town centre, this option is worthy of further consideration. Community feedback is required on whether this option would attract public support. Implementability Appraisal Technical: Minor harbour works would be required at Bressay to facilitate a foot passenger service. Operational: Additional crew would be required to operate the passenger vessel. Financial: The capital cost would be £0.5-£1 million, with a marginal increase in annual revenue support. A few comments were on this option at the public engagement but no widespread support was found. STAG Criteria Criterion Assessment Supporting Information Supplementing the replacement of the MV Leirna with a passenger only vessel would give rise to a			This Lerwick – Bressay route operates a standard and relatively consistent timetable. Any existing differentials		
the provided with links to strategic onward transport connections without the need for the morning, with a corresponding public transport connection to Sumburgh in Lerwick. This option would have no impact on strategic connectivity unless the passenger vessel started sufficient early in the morning, with a corresponding public transport connection to Sumburgh in Lerwick. This option is retained for further consideration. This option would provide a low cost means to substantially increase connections between Bressay and Lerwick. Given that the service would operate directly into the town centre, this option is worthy of further consideration. Community feedback is required on whether this option would attract public support. Implementability Appraisal Technical: Minor harbour works would be required at Bressay to facilitate a foot passenger service. Operational: Additional crew would be required to operate the passenger vessel. The capital cost would be £0.5-£1 million, with a marginal increase in annual revenue support. A few comments were on this option at the public engagement but no widespread support was found. STAG Criteria Criterion Assessment Supporting Information Supplementing the replacement of the MV Leirna with a passenger only vessel would give rise to a					
This option would have no impact on strategic connectivity unless the passenger vessel started sufficient early in the morning with a corresponding public transport connection to Sumburgh in Lerwick. This option is retained for further consideration. This option would provide a low cost means to substantially increase connections between Bressay and Lerwick. Given that the service would operate directly into the town centre, this option is worthy of further consideration. Community feedback is required on whether this option would attract public support. Implementability Appraisal Technical: Minor harbour works would be required at Bressay to facilitate a foot passenger service. Operational: Additional crew would be required to operate the passenger vessel. Financial: The capital cost would be £0.5-£1 million, with a marginal increase in annual revenue support. A few comments were on this option at the public engagement but no widespread support was found. STAG Criteria Criterion Assessment Supporting Information Supplementing the replacement of the MV Leirna with a passenger only vessel would give rise to a			Performan	ce against Transport Planning Objective: Neutral	
the morning, with a corresponding public transport connection to Sumburgh in Lerwick. This option is retained for further consideration. This option would provide a low cost means to substantially increase connections between Bressay and Lerwick. Given that the service would operate directly into the town centre, this option is worthy of further consideration. Community feedback is required on whether this option would attract public support. Implementability Appraisal Technical: Minor harbour works would be required at Bressay to facilitate a foot passenger service. Operational: Additional crew would be required to operate the passenger vessel. Financial: The capital cost would be £0.5-£1 million, with a marginal increase in annual revenue support. Public: A few comments were on this option at the public engagement but no widespread support was found. STAG Criteria Criterion Assessment Summary Supporting Information Supplementing the replacement of the MV Leirna with a passenger only vessel would give rise to a					
This option is retained for further consideration. This option would provide a low cost means to substantially increase connections between Bressay and Lerwick. Given that the service would operate directly into the town centre, this option is worthy of further consideration. Community feedback is required on whether this option would attract public support. Implementability Appraisal Technical: Minor harbour works would be required at Bressay to facilitate a foot passenger service. Operational: Additional crew would be required to operate the passenger vessel. Financial: The capital cost would be £0.5-£1 million, with a marginal increase in annual revenue support. Public: A few comments were on this option at the public engagement but no widespread support was found. STAG Criteria Criterion Assessment Supporting Information Supplementing the replacement of the MV Leirna with a passenger only vessel would give rise to a					
Rationale for Selection or Rejection of Proposal: Increase connections between Bressay and Lerwick. Given that the service would operate directly into the town centre, this option is worthy of further consideration. Community feedback is required on whether this option would attract public support. Implementability Appraisal Technical: Minor harbour works would be required at Bressay to facilitate a foot passenger service. Operational: Additional crew would be required to operate the passenger vessel. Financial: The capital cost would be £0.5-£1 million, with a marginal increase in annual revenue support. Public: A few comments were on this option at the public engagement but no widespread support was found. STAG Criteria Criterion Assessment Supporting Information Supplementing the replacement of the MV Leirna with a passenger only vessel would give rise to a	an overnight stay on Shetland mainla				
Centre, this option is worthy of further consideration. Community feedback is required on whether this option would attract public support. Implementability Appraisal	Bada anta fa a Outa ada a a a Bada ada a				
Implementability Appraisal Minor harbour works would be required at Bressay to facilitate a foot passenger service.					
Technical: Minor harbour works would be required at Bressay to facilitate a foot passenger service. Operational: Additional crew would be required to operate the passenger vessel. Financial: The capital cost would be £0.5-£1 million, with a marginal increase in annual revenue support. Public: A few comments were on this option at the public engagement but no widespread support was found. STAG Criteria Criterion Assessment Supporting Information Supplementing the replacement of the MV Leirna with a passenger only vessel would give rise to a	гторозаі.				
Technical: Minor harbour works would be required at Bressay to facilitate a foot passenger service. Operational: Additional crew would be required to operate the passenger vessel. Financial: The capital cost would be £0.5-£1 million, with a marginal increase in annual revenue support. Public: A few comments were on this option at the public engagement but no widespread support was found. STAG Criteria Criterion Assessment Supporting Information Supporting Information Supplementing the replacement of the MV Leirna with a passenger only vessel would give rise to a		Ιν	voulu attia	ct public support.	
Operational: Additional crew would be required to operate the passenger vessel. Financial: The capital cost would be £0.5-£1 million, with a marginal increase in annual revenue support. Public: A few comments were on this option at the public engagement but no widespread support was found. STAG Criteria Criterion Assessment Supporting Information Supplementing the replacement of the MV Leirna with a passenger only vessel would give rise to a	Implementability Appraisal				
Operational: Additional crew would be required to operate the passenger vessel. Financial: The capital cost would be £0.5-£1 million, with a marginal increase in annual revenue support. Public: A few comments were on this option at the public engagement but no widespread support was found. STAG Criteria Criterion Assessment Supporting Information Supplementing the replacement of the MV Leirna with a passenger only vessel would give rise to a					
Operational: Additional crew would be required to operate the passenger vessel. Financial: The capital cost would be £0.5-£1 million, with a marginal increase in annual revenue support. Public: A few comments were on this option at the public engagement but no widespread support was found. STAG Criteria Criterion Assessment Supporting Information Supplementing the replacement of the MV Leirna with a passenger only vessel would give rise to a	Technical:	Λ.	Minor barbour works would be required at Bressay to facilitate a foot passenger service		
Financial: The capital cost would be £0.5-£1 million, with a marginal increase in annual revenue support. Public: A few comments were on this option at the public engagement but no widespread support was found. STAG Criteria Criterion Assessment Supporting Information Supporting Information Supplementing the replacement of the MV Leirna with a passenger only vessel would give rise to a	recinical.	10	williof Harbour works would be required at bressay to facilitate a root passeriger service.		
Financial: The capital cost would be £0.5-£1 million, with a marginal increase in annual revenue support. Public: A few comments were on this option at the public engagement but no widespread support was found. STAG Criteria Criterion Assessment Supporting Information Supporting Information Supplementing the replacement of the MV Leirna with a passenger only vessel would give rise to a					
Public: A few comments were on this option at the public engagement but no widespread support was found. STAG Criteria Criterion Assessment Supporting Information Supplementing the replacement of the MV Leirna with a passenger only vessel would give rise to a					
STAG Criteria Criterion Assessment Supporting Information Supplementing the replacement of the MV Leirna with a passenger only vessel would give rise to a	Financial:		The capital cost would be £0.5-£1 million, with a marginal increase in annual revenue support.		
Criterion Assessment Summary Supporting Information Supplementing the replacement of the MV Leirna with a passenger only vessel would give rise to a	Public:		A few comments were on this option at the public engagement but no widespread support was found.		
Supporting Information Supporting Information Supplementing the replacement of the MV Leirna with a passenger only vessel would give rise to a	STAG Criteria				
	Criterion			Supporting Information	
Environment: minor increase in emissions through an increase in the number of sailings operated.				Supplementing the replacement of the MV Leirna with a passenger only vessel would give rise to a	
	Environment:		×	minor increase in emissions through an increase in the number of sailings operated.	

		Harbour works are likely to be minimal, which would mean that any environmental impact would likewise be minimal.
		Environmental Constraints The environmental constraints for the Bressay route are provided below for information
		Bressay Ferry Terminal Residential properties within 150m to the north of the harbour (Maryfield House Hotel within 180m) and in close proximity to fixed link (if previous line is used at Hogan) Easter Rova Head Geological SSSI approximately 4km north Gardie House Garden and Designed Landscape within 100m (to north) of harbour Listed buildings within 100m of harbour Coastal flooding risk Generally good air quality Lerwick Port (Bressay Service Ferry Terminal) Residential properties within 50m of the harbour Core path CPPL04 Coastal Walk Easter Rova Head Geological SSSI approximately 4km north Listed buildings within 100m Ferry terminal located in Lerwick Conservation Area
Safety:	<u>×</u>	This option would have a minor negative impact on safety due to increased vessel running hours.
Economy:	√	This option would record a moderate benefit in terms of the Economy criterion. The 50% increase in frequency would provide benefits associated with additional connections over the day. Any such impact is however likely to be very limited given the already high service frequency on the Lerwick – Bressay route.
Integration:	√	This option would provide Bressay with a significant increase in frequency, supporting enhanced integration with public transport options in Lerwick, whilst also supporting various policies aimed at fostering the economic sustainability of island.
Accessibility and Social Inclusion:	√ √	Supplementing the replacement of the MV <i>Leirna</i> with a passenger only vessel would deliver a moderate benefit in terms of accessibility & social inclusion through increasing the number of connections across the day, seven days a week.