## Part 1 Appraisal Summary Tables

Proposal Details					
Name and address of authority proposal:		Mr Michael Craigie, 01595 744 160, michael.craigie@shetland.gov.uk  Shetland Islands Council, Development Service, Transport Planning Service, 6 North			
(Also provide name of any subsidiary organisations also involved in promoting the proposal)		Ness, Lerwick, Shetland, ZE1 0LZ			
Proposal Name:	Option CO1b: Replace the MV New Advance with a similar but materially faster Lo-Lo vessel.		Stephen Canning, Peter Brett Associates		
Proposal Description:	This vessel would be a similar		Capital costs/grant		
	replacement for the MV New Advance in terms of carrying		£1.25m		
	capacity. However, this vessel		Current revenue support		
	would travel faster at e.g. 10-12 knots.  This option would not require harbour works other than remedial dredging at Foula, assuming the		Revenue costs not available as service contracted out		
		Funding Requirement:	Annual revenue support		
	vessel dimensions and characteristics are similar to the MV New Advance and the vessel can be lifted out of the water on the current davits.		Revenue costs not available as service contracted out		
Funding Sought From: (if applicable)			Present Value of Cost to Govt.		
	Transport Scotland	Amount of Application:	Costs in this study are all reported in 2016 prices only. The costs would reflect those set out above.		
Background Information					
Geographic Context:	The island of Foula lies 20 miles to the west of Walls on the Shetland mainland. The island, which is still lairded, is about 2.5 miles long by 3.5 miles wide.				
	Transport connections are provided by the workboat MV New Advance and an air service from Tingwall.				

	The population of Foula is small but has been relatively resilient over the years, despite limited on-island opportunities. The population was recorded as 38 in the 2011 Census.				
Social Context:	The shortage of housing stock in Foula is a key constraint on population sustainability and growth.				
	Foula retains its primary school and recently attracted a teacher to go and live on the island. The school roll ha increased over the last two years.				
	Health provision on Foula is limited, although this is perhaps to be expected given the remoteness of the island. The main need of the island appears to be enhanced emergency cover.				
	The lack of on-island services is a challenge for Foula residents, although this is not a new issue and has not had a noticeable impact on the population level (although it may be a deterrent to in-migration).				
Economic Context:	Employment opportunities on Foula are limited, with employment concentrated in public sector posts, small scale crofting and seasonal tourism.				
	Given the geography, population and industrial base of Foula, there is unlikely to be any significant developments on the island over the period of the plan. The key for Foula is likely to be maintaining the population base, lowering the average age of residents and retaining key services.				
Planning Objectives					
Objective:	Performance against planning objective:				
	s should Performance against Transport Planning Objective: Neutral				
not act as a constraint to regulessential personal, vehicular and travel between the island(s) and Mainland	d freight As the proposed vessel would be a like-for-like replacement, this option would have no impact on capacity.				
TPO2a: Where an island 'commutable' combined ferry or	has a Performance against Transport Planning Objective: Neutral				
	a main This objective is not relevant for Foula.				
employment centre (e.g. 80 minu connections provided should facilitate commuting	tes), the reliably				
TPO2b: Where an island does no	t have a Performance against Transport Planning Objective: Minor Positive				
'commutable' combined ferry or air public transport / walk time to	a main The provision of a materially faster Lo-Lo vessel would make a minor contribution to this objective. Working on				

employment centre (e.g. 80 minutes), the the basis of the same arrival / departure times to and from Foula, a materially faster vessel would marginally connections provided should reliably permit extend time on mainland. In addition, a faster vessel may provide limited opportunities for taking greater at least a half day (e.g. 4 hours) in Lerwick 7 advantage of weather windows.				
days a week, all year round.	er wich 7 a	iuvaritage u	of weather windows.	
	la a ( a a . a . E	<b>.</b>	and the A.T. and t	
		ertormand	ce against Transport Planning Objective: Neutral	
connections should be minimised to				
		This option would have no impact on the frequency of the service.		
freight by maximising the number of island				
connections across the operating da				
		Performand	ce against Transport Planning Objective: Neutral	
should minimise the variation with				
•	aturdays T	This option would have no impact on the timetable.		
and Sundays.				
		Performand	ce against Transport Planning Objective: Neutral	
be provided with links to strategic				
transport connections without the r	need for T	his option v	would have no impact on strategic connectivity.	
an overnight stay on Shetland mainla	and.	-		
		This antism will not be retained for further consideration. It is considered that this antism is to shaisely, infossible		
		This option will not be retained for further consideration. It is considered that this option is technically infeasible as it would not be possible to design a vessel which achieves higher speeds with the same hull form. This option also makes very little contribution to the objectives and STAG criteria.		
Implementability Appraisal				
	It	It is considered that this option is technically infeasible as it would not be possible to design a vessel which		
I Achnicai:		achieves higher speeds with the same hull form.		
		achieves higher speeds with the same half form.		
Operational:		There would be no operational feasibility issues associated with this option.		
		Capital cost - £1.25m		
	Public: The initial community council and subsequent public consultation noted that this option would be acceptable.			
STAG Criteria				
Criterion	Assess Summa		Supporting Information	
			The replacement of the MV New Advance with a more modern vessel would give rise to a potential	
Environment:		√ r	reduction in emissions, although this could to some extent be offset by the increase in operating speed. There would be no other environmental issues associated with this option.	

		Environmental Constraints The environmental constraints for the Foula route are provided below for information  Foula Constraints  Residential properties in proximity to ferry terminal and airstrip Core path CPPWS03 in proximity to pier and to the runway location Foula GCR follows island coast in proximity to runway location (but at Ham Harbour) Foula is entirely designated as Special Protection Area (SPA) and SSSI Foula is entirely within an NSA One listed building within 50m of pier Coastal flooding risk Good air quality  Walls Constraints Residential properties within 50m of the pier Walls Harbour within Vaila Sound Shellfish Water Protected Area and Grunting Voe Shellfish Water Protected Area approximately 4km south of Walls Scheduled monument and listed buildings in proximity to the harbour Coastal flooding risk Good air quality
Safety:	Neutral	This option would have no impact in terms of safety.
Economy:	<b>√</b> √	This option would deliver a minor positive impact in terms of the economy criterion. The reduction in journey times associated with a faster vessel would provide TEE benefits, whilst there may also be marginal enhancements to reliability if a faster vessel can take better advantage of weather windows.  The improved perception of the vessel and the crossing to / from Foula (particularly for tourists) could encourage increased sea-based travel, but any such impact would be very minor.
Integration:	✓	This option would support a range of policies focussed on island sustainability and development.
Accessibility and Social Inclusion:	√√	This option would offer a minor positive in terms of accessibility as it is assumed that access would be improved (as far as is reasonably possible with a Lo-Lo vessel operating within the existing infrastructure). However, any such impact is likely to be very minor.