Part 1 Appraisal Summary Tables

Proposal Details			
Name and address of authority or organisation promoting the proposal:		Mr Michael Craigie, 01595 744 16	60, michael.craigie@shetland.gov.uk
		Shetland Islands Council, Develo Ness, Lerwick, Shetland, ZE1 0L2	pment Service, Transport Planning Service, 6 North Z
Proposal Name:	Option RO2a – Increase the frequency of the service on days where there is a sailing to three return connections per day. Option RO2b – Option RO2a combined with the withdrawal of the Papa Stour air service.	Name of Planner:	Stephen Canning, Peter Brett Associates
Proposal Description:	Option RO2a – The current timetable would be expanded to provide three return crossings on a Wednesday, Friday, Saturday and Sunday, where currently there are one or two.		
	Option RO2b – Option RO2a but with the withdrawal of the air service to Papa Stour (two return flights on a Tuesday).		<i>Annual revenue support</i> £501k per annum
Funding Sought From: (if applicable)	Transport Scotland	Amount of Application:	Present Value of Cost to Govt. Costs in this study are all reported in 2016 prices only. The costs would reflect those set out above.
Background Information			
Geographic Context:		e crossing to the island takes arou	 The Papa Stour ferry departs from West Burrafirth nd 40 minutes. The island can also be accessed by

Social Context:	Papa Stour has the smallest population of the islands served by the Council inter-island transport network. The island has a population of 15 spread across nine households, There is a problem for landowners, who own property on the island but rarely live there as a result of poor connectivity and job opportunities. There is no fire cover within the island as well as no ambulance cover. There is one child in the primary school. There is no shop on the island, although there is a Post Office at the pier.		
Economic Context:	The Papa Stour economy is almost wholly dependent on small scale crofting and a handful of public sector jobs. T cost of living is higher on Papa Stour than most other areas of Shetland due to the added expense of delivering materia fuel, food and supplies. This often has a detrimental impact on the island and adds to the challenges for the lo population. Access to services and social events is also highly restricted.		
Planning Objectives			
Objective:		Performance against planning objective:	
not act as a constraint to regi essential personal, vehicular and	es should ular and d freight Shetland	 Option RO2a - Performance against Transport Planning Objective: Moderate Positive The operation of a thrice daily return between West Burrafirth and Papa Stour on days where there are currently a connection would provide at least an additional one-direction 60 passenger spaces and 30 PCU spaces over the course of the week. Total one direction ferry capacity over the week would be 144 passenger spaces and 72 car spaces, a significant uplift on the currently available summer capacity (84 passenger spaces and 42 PCUs). Our analysis suggests that capacity is rarely a major issue in Papa Stour, although the 12 PC can be an issue during the summer tourist season. This issue is amplified by the low service frequency. Therefore, any option which increases capacity will have a positive impact against this objective. This is particularly the case where the additional capacity is concentrated on days where there is already a connection, therefore providing additional capacity for day-trippers. Option RO2b - Performance against Transport Planning Objective: Minor Positive There are two return flights between Papa Stour and Tingwall per week, both on a Tuesday. Whilst the removal of the air service would lead to a loss of up to 16 one-direction passenger seats per week, this would be somewhat offset by the increase in ferry provision (although that additional capacity is concentrated on different days – see TPO2b below). 	
'commutable' combined ferry or public transport / walk time to	drive / a main	Option RO2a - Performance against Transport Planning Objective: Not Applicable This objective is not considered relevant for Papa Stour. Option RO2b: Performance against Transport Planning Objective: Not Applicable	
connections provided should		This objective is not considered relevant for Papa Stour.	

facilitate commuting	
TPO2b: Where an island does not have a 'commutable' combined ferry or air / drive / public transport / walk time to a main employment centre (e.g. 80 minutes), the connections provided should reliably permit at least a half day (e.g. 4 hours) in Lerwick 7 days a week, all year round.	i intion Ri 17n' Portormanco adainst Transport Planning Libioctivo' Winor Nodativo
TPO3: The scheduled time between connections should be minimised to increase flexibility for passengers and freight by maximising the number of island	Option RO2a - Performance against Transport Planning Objective: Moderate Positive The addition of a third return sailing on the days where there is a connection would increase the overall frequency on those days and thus minimise the scheduled time between connections.
TPO4: The level of connectivity provided should minimise the variation within and between weekdays, evenings, Saturdays and Sundays.	 Option RO2a - Performance against Transport Planning Objective: Minor Positive This option would ensure a consistent timetable on days where there is a sailing, which compares with the current situation where there is only one return sailing on a Sunday and winter Saturday. Option RO2b: Performance against Transport Planning Objective: Minor Negative The withdrawal of the air service would have a negative impact in that it would remove all of the connections on one day of the week (Tuesday), this increasing the variation within the timetable.
TPO5: Where practicable, islanders should be provided with links to strategic onward transport connections without the need for an overnight stay on Shetland mainland.	

•		a will not be retained for further consideration. This option only provides additional frequency on ng days and there is little evidence that this is a priority issue for Papa Stour.		
Proposal:	Option RO2 This option v	b vill not be retained for further consideration as it is inferior to Option RO2a.		
Implementability Appraisal				
	There are no	technical feasibility issues in relation to Option RO2a.		
Technical:		hnical issue surrounding Option RO2b is the fate of the Papa Stour airstrip, specifically whether this aintained in the longer-term.		
Operational:		s RO2a and RO2b would require additional ferry crew / crewing hours. The resource / crew nplications of this option would need to be considered further.		
	Option RO2I hours.	Option RO2b removes the Papa Stour rotation from the timetable and potentially free-up aircraft and crew flying hours.		
	Annual reve	nue support would be in the region of £501k per annum, an increase of £73k per annum.		
Financial:	would be mo	sociated with running Papa Stour Airstrip in 2015/16 was budgeted at £4,600 so the savings here odest. The saving in flying hours would also be very low given the current timetable and there may ving if the aircraft is deployed elsewhere during the time that it is currently serving Papa Stour.		
Public:	InitiaInitia	 Initial feedback suggests that Option RO2a is acceptable to the Papa Stour population. Initial feedback suggests that the withdrawal of the air services is not acceptable to the Papa Storpopulation. 		
STAG Criteria				
Criterion	Assessment Summary	Supporting Information		
Environment:	Option RO2a - ×	Option RO2a There would be a minor negative environmental impact associated with the increased sailing frequency		
	Option RO2b - ×	Option RO2b As per Option R1a, but will a very marginal reduction in emissions associated with the discontinuation of the air service.		

Safety:	Option RO2a - ×	Option RO2a Whilst this option would increase the number of sailing hours, we have not identified any major safety impacts. There could however be an increase in vehicle kilometres due to the increased sailing frequency, although this would be less than would be the case under Options R1a and R1b.
	Option RO2b - ✓	Option RO2b As per Option RO2a. However, there is a safety benefit in that there would no longer be an air service flying into an unlicenced airfield with no fire cover.
Economy:		Option RO2a There would be minor TEE benefits associated with this option associated with the increased frequency and capacity of the service.
	Option RO2a - √√	There would be moderate (in the context of Papa Stour) wider benefits associated with this option. These would include positive impacts for tourists, residents, shopping and locally traded services (including on Shetland West Mainland).
	Option RO2b - ✓	Option RO2b As per Option RO2a, although the withdrawal of the air service would effectively cut Papa Stour off from a Sunday evening to a Wednesday morning, significantly diluting the benefits of enhancing the ferry service on days where there is currently a connection.
Integration:	Option RO2a - ✓	Option RO2a The extension of the timetable to three sailings per day on a Sunday and winter Saturday would allow Papa Stour residents to connect with the winter Saturday / Sunday evening NorthLink departure and flights without having to leave on the Friday / Saturday evening. A potential reworking of the timetable to extend the operating day may also improve access to strategic transport connections.
	Option RO2b - ×	Option RO2b As per Option RO2a, although strategic transport connectivity would be lost altogether on a Tuesday, meaning that Papa Stour residents could not travel off of Shetland mainland between Sunday evening and Wednesday morning without the need for an overnight stay.
Accessibility and Social Inclusion:	Option RO2a - √√	Option RO2a This option would represent a moderate improvement to the accessibility of Papa Stour residents, as well as for those travelling to the island on days where there is currently a sailing.
	Option RO2b - 🗸	Option RO2b As per Option RO2a, although the accessibility benefits are reduced by the loss of the air service.

In particular, the connectivity gap which would emerge between a Sunday evening and Wednes	sday
morning would be a significant disbenefit for the community.	